Appendix C/3

Cambridge East Action Plan Audit Trail of Policy Development

Special Council Meeting 9th May

Core Strategy and Development Control Policies: Consideration of Alternative Approaches and Development of Draft Policies

Consideration of Alternative Approaches and the Development of Draft Policies

Potential For Alternative Approaches

Sustainability Appraisal is required to examine all reasonable alternative approaches. This column explores what potential alternatives could have been explored, and in many cases why alternative approaches were limited.

PPG/PPS

Indicates where clear guidance on the issue exists in government guidance, in the form of Planning Policy Guidance Notes, or Planning Policy Statements. This list is not exclusive, and there may be a wider variety of relevant guidance. The column is merely indicating where there is a clear link.

Structure Plan

The Local Development Framework is required to be in conformity with the Cambridgeshire Structure Plan 2003. A policy is listed where there is a clear link between the option or policy, and the Plan.

Draft RSS

The emerging Regional Spatial Strategy 14, the East of England Plan, includes many relevant policies.

Preferred Options Report

The Preferred Options Reports were subject to public participation in October 2004. They put forward options for policy approaches where the Council considered there were alternative approaches. Not all policies in the draft plan were put forward for consultation in the preferred options reports, as many are the result of clear guidance form other plans.

Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary / Changes

Core Strategy and Development Control Policies: Consideration of Alternative Approaches and Development of Draft Policies

Options within the Preferred Options Reports were subject to an Initial Sustainability Appraisal. A summary of the result, and initial changes to the reports prior to participation as a result of recommendations from the appraisal are detailed here.

Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

Around 6000 representations were received through public participation on the Preferred Options Reports. A very brief summary of the issues raised are detailed here. Full details of the representations received are available to view on the Council's website.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation

The Council considered representations received at the Preferred Options stage, options were selected for development into draft policies, and actions as a result of representations to influence the direction the policy should take.

Justification for Policy Approach

Details the reasons why the draft policy was developed.

CE1 Vision

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
						The majority of comments did not want Marshall's to move and
					Acceptable, although given the	considered Duxford an unsuitable location for relocation. Other
Guided by Structure Plan policy, as well as			SS1,		very broad nature of this option	comments concerned loss of the Green Belt and closeness of
national policy. Opportunities for significant			SS2,		we suggest it might be merged	development to existing villages and existing infrastructure being
alternative approaches are limited.	PPS1	P1/1, P1/3	CSR1	CE1 Vision	with CE2.	unable to cope with additional development.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan requires Cambridge East to be a sustainable high quality urban extension. The vision and development principles capture the fundamental principles for the development of the urban extension, based on a wide variety of guidance and best practice principles.

CE2 (1) Development Principles

<u> </u>				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable, though we	
					recommend an additional	
					statement making clear the	
Guided by Structure Plan policy, as well as					impact of housing targets on	Mix of broad support and objection, relating to matters of detail
national policy. Opportunities for significant			SS1,	CE2	the need for this development.	and phasing of infrastructure to serve the new development.
alternative approaches are limited, or dealt with			SS2,	Development		Some suggestions for rewording / new bullet points, largely
through other options or policies.	PPS1	P1/1, P1/3	CSR1	Principles	Amend text: "variety of	accepted.

types, sizes and tenure (including affordable housing) which is well designed"
--

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach with some new / amended bullet points.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan requires Cambridge East to be a sustainable high quality urban extension. The vision and development principles capture the fundamental principles for the development of the urban extension, based on a wide variety of guidance and best practice principles.

CE2 (a-bb) Development Principles

OLZ (a-bb) Development i inicipies						
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Guided by Structure Plan policy, as well as						
national policy. Opportunities for significant			SS1,			
alternative approaches are limited, or dealt with			SS2,			
through other options or policies.	PPS1	P1/1, P1/3	CSR1	Not Included.		

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan requires Cambridge East to be a sustainable high quality urban extension. The vision and development principles capture the fundamental principles for the development of the urban extension, based on a wide variety of guidance and best practice principles.

Potential For Alternative Approaches		Structure Plan	Draft RSS	Preferred Options Report	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary <i>I</i> Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participatio	
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide				·			
ariety of alternative approaches, requirements o	f						
he Structure Plan, and implementation of the							
rision guide the policy, and many of the themes were addressed through other policies.							
vere addressed through other policies. Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.							

Cas Cad Cream Balt Objectives						
C2a-C2d Green Belt Objectives	l			Preferred	Initial Custoinshility	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches		Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide				•		
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of	f					
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the						
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes						
were addressed through other policies.						

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

C3a-C3d Landscape Objectives

Potential For Alternative Approaches		Structure Plan	Draft RSS	Preferred Options Report	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		riaii	NOO	Report	Onanges	Duninary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide						
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of	f					
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the						
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes						
were addressed through other policies.						

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

Justification for Policy Approach:

CE3 (1) The Site for Cambridge East

CE3 (1) The Site for Cambridge East										
				Preferred						
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				

Site options were guided by the requirements of					
Structure Plan Policy P9/2c; the eastern sector					
including land to the north of Newmarket Road, to					
the north of Cherry Hinton and Cambridge					
Airport, and the need for any land release to pay					
proper regard to the need to maintain the					
penetration of the countryside into the heart of					
the City. The site options were constrained to					
some extent by the amount of land needed to					
accommodate the scale of development and by				Acceptable, although this option	
existing features on the ground, such as major			CE3 The	defines boundaries and an	
roads and villages. The precise boundary for the			Cambridge	inventory of what will be	Some objection to the site given that Marshall's has not decided
site dependent upon the relocation of Cambridge			East Site –	provided at the site, limiting how	where to relocate. Some detailed comments on the proposed
Airport will be considered in the review of the			Preferred	it can be assessed with the	boundary (support and object) and concerns about the extent of
AAP.	PPS1	P9/2c	Option	criteria.	development proposed and the ability of infrastructure to cope.
Actions Following Professed Ontions Consults	tion. [Ourous the Drofe	rrad Appraach by	it amonded to review the eastern I	houndary of the cite north of Newmarket Doad to follow the ditch /

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach but amended to revise the eastern boundary of the site north of Newmarket Road to follow the ditch / hedge line running from Honey Hill to Newmarket Road, to the west of Airport Way roundabout, consistent with the proposed boundary for the Green Belt - exclude the Green Corridor from Teversham to Coldhams Common and - to exclude existing housing south of Newmarket Road.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan identifies strategic locations for housing and mixed-use development around Cambridge, including land to be released from the Green Belt (Policy P9/2c) - Cambridge East comprising Cambridge Airport, land north of Newmarket Road and land north of Cherry Hinton. The site is defined in order to maximise the yield from the site to meet the housing needs, to the extent that it is compatible with securing high quality development which will integrate with the City and which will maintain the individual identity of nearby villages.

CE3 (1) The Site for Cambridge East	ı					
					Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Preferred	Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Options Report	/ Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

Site options were guided by the requirements of					
Structure Plan Policy P9/2c; the eastern sector					
including land to the north of Newmarket Road, to					
the north of Cherry Hinton and Cambridge					
Airport, and the need for any land release to pay					
proper regard to the need to maintain the					
penetration of the countryside into the heart of					
the City. The site options were constrained to					
some extent by the amount of land needed to					
accommodate the scale of development and by					
existing features on the ground, such as major					
roads and villages. The precise boundary for the			CE4 The	We concur that this is the	
site dependent upon the relocation of Cambridge			Cambridge East	inferior option. The impact of	
Airport will be considered in the review of the			Site – Rejected	the additional land take is not	
AAP.	PPS1	P9/2c	Option	made clear.	General support for the rejection of this option.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Rejected Option.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan identifies strategic locations for housing and mixed-use development around Cambridge, including land to be released from the Green Belt (Policy P9/2c) - Cambridge East comprising Cambridge Airport, land north of Newmarket Road and land north of Cherry Hinton. The site is defined in order to maximise the yield from the site to meet the housing needs, to the extent that it is compatible with securing high quality development which will integrate with the City and which will maintain the individual identity of nearby villages.

CE3 (2-3) The Site for Cambridge E	<u>ast – F</u>	hase 1 N	lorth	of Newmarket R		
					Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Preferred Options	Appraisal Result	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

Site options were guided by the requirements of					
Structure Plan Policy P9/2c; the eastern sector					
including land to the north of Newmarket Road, to					
the north of Cherry Hinton and Cambridge					
Airport, and the need for any land release to pay					
proper regard to the need to maintain the					
penetration of the countryside into the heart of					
the City. The site options were constrained to					
some extent by the amount of land needed to					
accommodate the scale of development and by					
existing features on the ground, such as major					
roads and villages. The precise boundary for the					
site dependent upon the relocation of Cambridge			CE5 The North of	Cannot assess this	
Airport will be considered in the review of the			Newmarket Road Site	option as it only defines	Some concerns about impact on existing villages and scale of
AAP.	PPS1	P9/2c	 Preferred Approach 	boundaries.	green separation from proposed development.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: The boundaries can be clearly identified, with a focus on the reuse of brownfield land within the Marshall's North Works site and employment uses on the Newmarket Road frontage adjacent to the Park and Ride site which can be freed up by the relocation of some of the existing uses. The whole of the North Works site is included to ensure a holistic approach to the development of this area and ensuring any retained uses can be incorporated in such a way that they are compatible and create a high quality living environment in the new neighbourhood.

CE3 (4) The Site for Cambridge East – Phase 1 North of Newmarket Road												
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability							
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary							
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	/ Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation						

Site options were guided by the requirements of					
Structure Plan Policy P9/2c; the eastern sector					
including land to the north of Newmarket Road					
Site constrained to some extent by existing					
features on the ground, such as existing					
development and roads and the need to maintain					
separation from Fen Ditton. Options considered					A mixed response. Some comments suggesting the car
the extent of brownfield land to be included, and					showrooms should be redeveloped to create a more integrated
potential for extending further east to include			CE6 North		development on both sides of Newmarket Road, but others
greenfield land. Opportunities for significant			Works - Option	Assessment suggests this	suggest that given the uncertainties with the relocation of
alternative approaches are limited.	PPS1	P9/2c	1	option is inferior to Option 2.	Marshall's that they should be retained.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue option CE6 in the AAP but set out a clear policy aspiration for the redevelopment of the car showrooms in the longer term for a more appropriate form of development on this important frontage with a higher density, mixed-use development, perhaps with other uses such as residential over ground floor car showrooms.

Justification for Policy Approach: The whole of the North Works site is included to ensure a holistic approach to the development of this area and ensuring any retained uses can be incorporated in such a way that they are compatible and create a high quality living environment in the new neighbourhood. It is not clear how much of the previously developed land will come forward for development, so the AAP allows for the retention of the car showrooms in the first phase of the development, which will provide a buffer between the new development and the impacts on Newmarket Road. However, once they have reached the end of their useful life it is desirable that they are redeveloped in a form which makes better use of land.

CE3 (4) The Site for Cambridge East – Phase 1 North of Newmarket Road											
				Preferred							
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal						
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation					
Site options were guided by the requirements of					The initial assessment suggests that						
Structure Plan Policy P9/2c; the eastern sector					the North Works currently supports						
including land to the north of Newmarket Road				CE7 North	activities that generate waste and	Mixed response, but generally more support for the					
Site constrained to some extent by existing				Works -	pollutants. Development of the area	redevelopment of the car showrooms to allow a more integrated					
features on the ground, such as existing	PPS1	P9/2c		Option 2	north of Newmarket Road will bring	development on both sides of Newmarket Road.					

development and roads and the need to maintain	housing development close to this
separation from Fen Ditton. Options considered	area and it is preferable if the
the extent of brownfield land to be included, and	activities on site could be relocated
potential for extending further east to include	so that the area can have an
greenfield land. Opportunities for significant	integrated layout.
alternative approaches are limited.	

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue CE7, but in taking forward option CE6 in the AAP set out a clear policy aspiration for the redevelopment of the car showrooms in the longer term for a more appropriate form of development on this important frontage with a higher density, mixed-use development, perhaps with other uses such as residential over ground floor car showrooms.

Justification for Policy Approach: The whole of the North Works site is included to ensure a holistic approach to the development of this area and ensuring any retained uses can be incorporated in such a way that they are compatible and create a high quality living environment in the new neighbourhood. It is not clear how much of the previously developed land will come forward for development, so the AAP allows for the retention of the car showrooms in the first phase of the development, which will provide a buffer between the new development and the impacts on Newmarket Road. However, once they have reached the end of their useful life it is desirable that they are redeveloped in a form which makes better use of land.

CE3 (5) The Site for Cambridge East – Phase 1 North of Newmarket Road

CE3 (3) The Site for Cambridge East – Phase T North of Newmarket Road										
				Preferred						
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
					Acceptable - the option proposes					
					relocation of unattractive and / or					
				CE8	unsuitable businesses and					
				Employment	redevelopment presumably with					
This is a phasing issue, therefore, any other				Uses Adjacent	housing or associated uses. This					
option would be a variation on this theme, for				to the Park and	option is sustainable provided					
example, to include the development of these				Ride Site –	new employment opportunities					
uses within the second phase of the				Preferred	compensate closure of business	Objection to the loss of a petrol filling station, but support for				
development.	PPS1	P9/2c		Approach	premises.	relocation close by to allow a better quality of development.				

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue Preferred Approach with a requirement to retain a petrol filling station in this area at all times during the development, which may mean that it remains on the present site in the short term.

Justification for Policy Approach: The whole of the North Works site is included to ensure a holistic approach to the development of this area. Whilst the petrol filling station is to be retained as a use, it is unattractive and not compatible with the creation of a high quality, high density new urban extension and will be relocated to another location.

CE3 (6) The Site for Cambridge East - Safeguarded Land

ozo (c) ino ono ioi oumbilago zaot	Ouit	'gaaraca	Lana			
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	/ Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Not applicable as issue not	
					included in Preferred Options	
There are no alternatives.	PPG2	P9/2c	-	Not included.	Report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P9/2c states any land not required for development by 2016 will be designated as safeguarded land to meet the longer-term development needs, consistent with the strategy in the emerging RSS14.

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
The review of the Green Belt is guided by						
Structure Plan policy P9/2b in the context of						
policy P9/2a. Three options were proposed -						
Option 1 an interim review to make the best						
attempt to define the revised Green Belt, to be						
refined once AAP is reviewed; Option 2 remove					Cannot be assessed	
whole site and redesignate green corridor and					meaningfully since it	
other land not identified for development in the					addresses definition of the	
review AAP; Option 3 retain the majority of the					Green Belt. Option CE10	
site within the Green Belt, except Phase 1 north					indicates that this process is	
of Newmarket Road, but remove more land in the				CE9 Green	reversible and therefore the	
review AAP. Any other options would be a		P9/2a,		Belt Review -	difference between the	Some general support for redesignation of Green Belt as early and
variation on these themes.	PPG2	P9/2b		Option 1	options is largely procedural.	as clearly as possible, but some objection to future refinements.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue option CE9. That the procedure set out in CE9, to define the Green Belt boundary at this stage, with the potential to refine it in a later review, be adopted as the approach in the AAP. In determining which areas should be retained in Green Belt, the boundary should take account of the need to protect the setting of the City.

CE4 (1) The Setting of Cambridge East – Revised Cambridge Green Belt

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
The review of the Green Belt is guided by						
Structure Plan policy P9/2b in the context of						
policy P9/2a. Three options were proposed -						
Option 1 an interim review to make the best						
attempt to define the revised Green Belt, to be						
refined once AAP is reviewed; Option 2 remove					This option illustrates that	
whole site and redesignate green corridor and					Green Belt designation is not	
other land not identified for development in the					an irreversible process.	
review AAP; Option 3 retain the majority of the					Options CE9 to CE11	
site within the Green Belt, except Phase 1 north					therefore deal with the	
of Newmarket Road, but remove more land in the				CE10 Green	procedure for redesignating	
review AAP. Any other options would be a		P9/2a,		Belt Review -	and as Green Belt and are	
variation on these themes.	PPG2	P9/2b		Option 2	not readily assessable.	Mostly objection to this option.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue CE10, Option 2.

CE4 (1) The Setting of Cambridge East – Revised Cambridge Green Belt

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
The review of the Green Belt is guided by						
Structure Plan policy P9/2b in the context of						
policy P9/2a. Three options were proposed -						
Option 1 an interim review to make the best						
attempt to define the revised Green Belt, to be						
refined once AAP is reviewed; Option 2 remove					This option illustrates that	
whole site and redesignate green corridor and					Green Belt designation is not	
other land not identified for development in the					an irreversible process.	
review AAP; Option 3 retain the majority of the					Options CE9 to CE11	
site within the Green Belt, except Phase 1 north					therefore deal with the	
of Newmarket Road, but remove more land in the				CE11 Green	procedure for redesignating	
review AAP. Any other options would be a		P9/2a,		Belt Review -	land as Green Belt and are	
variation on these themes.	PPG2	P9/2b		Option 3	not readily assessable.	Mostly objection to this option.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue CE11, Option 3.

CE4	(1)	The Set	<u>ting c</u>	of Camb	<u>ridge</u>	East ·	<u>– Revi</u>	<u>ised C</u>	<u>ambr</u>	<u>idge</u>	<u>Green</u>	<u>Belt</u>
											_	

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
The review of the Green Belt is guided by				CE12 Green		
Structure Plan policy P9/2b in the context of				Belt Review		
policy P9/2a. The Preferred Approach redefines				North of	Loss of GB land is not	
the Green Belt in accordance with PPG2, using				Newmarket	intrinsically sustainable, but	
clearly identifiable features, in this case a strong				Road –	this option supports the	Mixture of general support for the removal of this land from the
tree belt. Opportunities for significant alternative		P9/2a,		Preferred	objective of meeting housing	Green Belt and objection to the loss of Green Belt and impact of
approaches are limited.	PPG2	P9/2b		Approach	targets.	development on Fen Ditton

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: In view of the recommendation to define the Green Belt boundary for the whole of the site in this AAP, it is not necessary to pursue CE12 in isolation. In determining which area should be retained in Green Belt, the boundary should take account of the need to protect the setting of the City. The site boundary shown in option CE3 should form the basis of the Green Belt review, with the exception of excluding the Green Corridor from Teversham to Coldhams Common and the eastern boundary of the Green Belt north of Newmarket Road be defined to follow the hedge and ditch boundary running south from Honey Hill to Newmarket Road.

CE 1 /2\ Th	Catting of	Cambridge East	Davisad Car	bridge Green Belt
しって4(/) III	: Sellino oi 1	.amonoce casi	– Reviseo Can	ionooe Green beil

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

Guided by Structure Plan policy, as well as						
national policy. Opportunities for significant					Not applicable as issue not	
alternative approaches are limited, or dealt with					included in Preferred Options	
through other options or policies.	PPG2	P9/2a	_	Not included	Report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Justification for Policy Approach: In accordance with Structure Plan Policy P9/2a, sets out the purposes of the Green Belt in the vicinity of Cambridge East.

CE4 (3-5) The Setting of Cambridge East - Green Corridor

OLT (O O) THE OCKING OF CAMBINGS		Olocii C	, 	<u> </u>		
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable. However the	
					option does not provide like-	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/2c. Two					for-like biodiversity	
options - Option 1 the corridor should provide					compensation for the land	
landscaping, biodiversity and informal recreation				CE64 Green	currently occupied by the	
and children's play; Option 2 incorporates formal			SS8,	Corridor –	airport and additional	General support for this Option, but some concerns about
sports pitches in addition. Any other options	PPG9,	P4/1,	ENV1,	Preferred	compensation might be	children's play areas reducing the wildlife and biodiversity value,
would be a variation on these themes.	PPG17	P4/2	C5	Option	necessary.	the danger from major roads bisecting the Green Corridor.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Option and define the Green Corridor in the AAP to: - have a minimum width of 300m - open up to a greater width at the Teversham end and maintain the setting and individual identity of the village with at least 200m be maintained between any part of the new development and Teversham village - be landscaped in such a way that open views from the "bell mouth" around Teversham at Airport Way into the Green Corridor enhance the sense of the village set in open countryside - have lower building heights and densities on the edges of the built-up area where it adjoins the "bell mouth" around Teversham - only include informal recreation and children's play areas so that the emphasis would be on its landscape, amenity and biodiversity value and have a "countryside" character.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Green Corridor will be retained from the countryside to Coldhams Common. It will offer landscape and biodiversity as well as informal recreational use, offering public access compatible with the character and amenity. It will not contain any urban uses such as playing fields, allotments or cemeteries to ensure no adverse impact on its informal countryside character which acts as a transition between the heart of the city and the countryside. It will be a significant area of 300m width increasing significantly as it opens up close to Teversham as this reflects the width of other Green Corridors in the area. To minimise impact on the landscape, recreational and biodiversity functions of the Green Corridor, the number of road crossings will be carefully planned and limited to those necessary for the functioning of the urban quarter.

CE4 (3-5) The Setting of Cambridge East – Green Corridor

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Adding formal recreation land	
					use to the green corridor	
					would increase the artificial	
					feel of the space in	
					biodiversity terms, creating a	
					patchwork of public and	
					natural spaces rather than	
					continuous habitat. It is not	
					clear however how providing	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/2c. Two					formal sporting facilities in the	
options - Option 1 the corridor should provide					green corridor might affect	
landscaping, biodiversity and informal recreation				CE65 Green	requirements elsewhere in	
and children's play; Option 2 incorporates formal			SS8,	Corridor –	the settlement - eg. free	
sports pitches in addition. Any other options	PPG9,	P4/1,	ENV1,	Alternative	further land for built	General objection to this option, preferring not to have formal
would be a variation on these themes.	PPG17	P4/2	C5	Option	development.	sports provision in the Green Corridor.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue the Alternative Option.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Green Corridor will be retained from the countryside to Coldhams Common. It will offer landscape and biodiversity as well as informal recreational use, offering public access compatible with the character and amenity. It will not contain any urban uses such as playing fields, allotments or cemeteries to ensure no adverse impact on its informal countryside character which acts as a transition between the heart of the city and the countryside. It will be a significant area of 300m width increasing significantly as it opens up close to Teversham as this reflects the width of other Green Corridors in the area. To minimise impact on the landscape, recreational and biodiversity functions of the Green Corridor, the number of road crossings will be carefully planned and limited to those necessary for the functioning of the urban quarter.

CE4 (6) The Setting of Cambridge East – Green Corridor

	PPG /	Structure			Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE66 Crossing		
				the Green		Many objections to roads bisecting the Green Corridor, suggesting
There are no reasonable alternatives, as "do				Corridor –		putting the road in a tunnel, the provision of pedestrian underpass
nothing" is not an option for the necessary				Preferred		footbridge crossings. Some support subject to the provision of
functioning of the urban quarter.				Approach	Acceptable.	safe crossings for pedestrians, cyclists, horse riders, and wildlife.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Green Corridor will be retained from the countryside to Coldhams Common. It will offer landscape and biodiversity as well as informal recreational use, offering public access compatible with the character and amenity. It will not contain any urban uses such as playing fields, allotments or cemeteries to ensure no adverse impact on its informal countryside character which acts as a transition between the heart of the city and the countryside. It will be a significant area of 300m width increasing significantly as it opens up close to Teversham as this reflects the width of other Green Corridors in the area. To minimise impact on the landscape, recreational and biodiversity functions of the Green Corridor, the number of road crossings will be carefully planned and limited to those necessary for the functioning of the urban quarter.

CE5 Landscaping the Setting of Cambridge East

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable. The Councils	
					might consider making	
There are a variety of alternative approaches to				CE50	separate provision for green	Support for the production of a landscape strategy and the green
landscaping. The option puts forward the only				Landscape –	space primarily for recreation	corridor having tree and wide spaces for recreation. However,
reasonable approach at this stage of the planning				Preferred	and that primarily for	objections highlighting the need for adequate green separation
process.	-	P7/4	ENV1	Approach	biodiversity value.	with Teversham.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach and add two new bullet points: "set criteria for the strategic landscaping at the site, including along Airport Way and in areas of green separation from villages" and "give consideration to requiring key aspects of strategic landscaping (eg within green separation) at the beginning of each major phase of development".

Justification for Policy Approach: Cambridge East will be a major feature in the landscape, it is important it is designed and maintained to respect the landscape character of the area and maintain the landscape setting.

CE6 (1-3) Green Separation from Fel	<u>n Ditt</u>	on and I	<u>evers</u>	<u>nam - Gree</u>	<u>n Separation from Teve</u>	<u>ersnam</u>
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Any alternative approaches would be a variation					Not applicable as issue not	
of the theme, for example, including more Green					included in Preferred Options	
Separation or different landscape treatment.	-	P9/2a	ENV1	Not included	Report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is important to maintain the village character of Teversham, which will partly be achieved through provision of Green Separation. The distance and landscape treatment of that separation is crucial to maintaining the visual separation between the existing village and Cambridge East. A minimum of 200m separation is appropriate, from the edge of the built development in order to create sufficient space within which to develop appropriate landscape features and sense of separation. This approach was explored in the Northstowe AAP Preferred Options Report and was generally accepted. In addition, opportunities for public access will be sought in conjunction with other policies in the AAP.

CE6 (4-5) Green Separation from Fen Ditton and Teversham - Green Separation from Fen Ditton

OLO (+-0) Orcen ocparation nomine	Ditte	Jii alia 1	5 V C I 3 I	iaiii - Oiccii	ocparation nom r cm	<u> </u>
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Any alternative approaches would be a variation					Not applicable as issue not	
of the theme, for example, including more Green					included in Preferred Options	
Separation or different landscape treatment.	-	P9/2a	ENV1	Not included	Report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is important to maintain the village character of Fen Ditton, which will partly be achieved through provision of Green Separation. It is important to retain the tree belt to maximise its benefit for providing separation, especially as the width of separation is less than for Teversham.

CE7 (1-18) The Structure of Cambri	dge E	ast_				
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Not applicable as issue not	
					included in Preferred Options	
There are no alternatives.	-	P1/3	-	Not included	Report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Justification for Policy Approach: Policy draws together the main themes for the structure of Cambridge East, including land uses, services & facilities, transport, character, and design.

D2/a-D2/I The District Centre Objectives										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide										
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of	f									
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the										
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes										
were addressed through other policies.										

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE8 (1) The District Centre - District Centre Location

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
The Preferred Approach for the District Centre to						
be located broadly at the geographical centre of						
the development will assist the objective of being						
as accessible as possible to the maximum				CE13 District		
number of residents, on the dedicated public				Centre		General support for the location of the District Centre to maximise
transport route, and help to ensure its viability.				Location –		accessibility, but some objection that the approach is premature
Any other location would not perform this role so				Preferred		because the disposition of land available has yet to be
effectively.	PPS6			Approach	Acceptable.	determined.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Locating the District Centre broadly at the geographical centre of the site will ensure that its shops, services and facilities are as accessible as possible to the maximum number of its residents, and the design of Cambridge East and the District Centre will maximise accessibility by non-car modes. It will be located on a dedicated local busway to maximise access by public transport for those areas furthest away. Maximising access to the District Centre should also help ensure its success.

CE 8 (2-6) The District Centre – District Centre Form and Uses

	PPG /	Structure		Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan		Report	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE14 District		
The Preferred Approach for a range of uses and				Centre Role		
facilities to meet the needs of the residents and				and Form –		
creating a vibrant heart to the development.			SS12,	Preferred		
Other approaches would be minor variations.	PPS6		E10	Approach	Acceptable.	General support.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is proposed that the District Centre should provide for a mix of uses, such as shops, services, cultural, leisure and community faculties to serve the new urban extension and immediate surrounding area without undermining the vitality and viability of, or competing with Cambridge City centre. Some intervention is needed to ensure a suitable mix of uses, including day and evening uses, and to prevent a few large commercial premises undermining the environment. The District Centre will need to provide for the needs of the urban quarter to reduce the need to travel. The form of the District Centre will be crucial to its success in terms of achieving a place that is attractive and convenient as a destination for shopping and leisure, and creating landmark buildings and a high quality environment will also be vital to create a place worthy of its residents and of Cambridge.

CE 8 (7-8) The District Centre – Vitality and Viability

CE 0 (1-0) THE DISTRICT CETTED - VITAL	ily aii	u viabilit	<u>y</u>			
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Procedural policy to ensure adequate mix of uses	i				Not applicable as issue not	
and timing of delivery, with no reasonable			SS12,		included in Preferred Options	
alternatives.	PPS6	-	E10	Not included	Report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options Report.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is not possible or appropriate for the AAP as a high level planning policy document prepared at an early stage in the process of developing the urban quarter to be prescriptive about the overall size, mix of uses, urban design and measures required in the District Centre. A comprehensive strategy should be devised to address these issues and ensure development begins no later than 3 years after commencement of development on the Airport area.

D3a-D3f Local Centres Objectives	3a-D3f Local Centres Objectives									
	DDG /	Structure	Draft	Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches		Plan	RSS	Report		Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide		i iuii	1100	report	Onunges	Summary of Result of Freience Options Fusine Furticipation				
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of	f									
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the										
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes										

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

were addressed through other policies.

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

Not included.

CE9 (1) (i-ii), (2), (3) Local Centres – Cambridge East										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				

Guided by national planning guidance, the						
Preferred Approach is for a Local Centres						
Strategy to determine the number of Local						
Centres, based in neighbourhoods with primary						
schools, so that they are accessible and meet					Not applicable as issue not	
local needs. Other approaches would be minor		SS1	2,		included in Preferred Options	
variations.	PPS6	- E10	Ν	lot included	report	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report

Justification for Policy Approach: It is not possible or appropriate for the AAP as a high level planning policy document prepared at an early stage in the process of developing the urban quarter to be prescriptive about the overall number and function of each Local Centre. A comprehensive strategy should be devised to address these issues. However, the broad principles in CE/9 are guided by national guidance.

CE9 (1) (iii) Local Centres – Cambridge East

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Inclusion of employment provision at local						
centres, on the dedicated public transport routes,					Acceptable - particularly	
assists with their accessibility to local residents					important to maintain a	
and could make the local centres more viable,				CE15 Local	diverse employment base	
generating trade. Mixed with other uses, it would				Centres:	and premises within easy	General support for local centres acting as a centre for small-scale
also prevent ghetto areas out of hours.				Employment –	reach of housing. Also	local employment uses, but objection to the lack of compensation
Alternative approaches could be for provision of				Preferred	encourages people to make	for the loss of Marshall's and not providing for a full range of job
employment elsewhere.	PPS6		SS12	Approach	multi-purpose trips.	types.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Local Centres will provide a community focus in the different neighbourhoods within the new urban quarter. In order that Cambridge East provides for a mix of uses which will ensure that services, facilities and some employment is locally at hand, the Local Centres will also provide an opportunity for small-scale office and other employment uses appropriate in a predominantly residential area. Cambridge East provides the opportunity to redress the balance between housing and jobs, so large-scale employment provision is not appropriate.

CE9 (4) Local Centres – Phase 1 North of Newmarket Road

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable although some	
					rewording might be	
					considered to indicate	
				CE16 Local	whether employment will be	
				Centre North o	f provided.	
Provision of a local centre is required to meet the				Newmarket		
needs of the new residents north of Newmarket				Road –	Amend text: "location for	
Road. Alternative options for the number of local			SS12,	Preferred	services and facilities, and	General support to ensure Phase 1 north of Newmarket Road has
centres, facilities, location, function and phasing.	PPS6		E10	Approach	local employment."	a community focus and identity.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Phase 1 (1,500 - 2,000 dwellings) should support a Local Centre. In view of the important role that a Local Centre can have in providing a community focus and location for services and facilities and local employment and that it can help to create community identity from the outset of development, it will be required as part of Phase 1. This is particularly important as this phase of development will be some years ahead of the wider development on the Airport and it is not particularly well related to the rest of Cambridge. The composition of the Local Centre will share many characteristics with those in the urban quarter and the location should have regard to the needs of the first phase of development whilst taking into account of potential for it to serve any later extension to the east. It should have good pedestrian and cycle links through to the adjacent Fison Road estate, to ensure it can also serve that area and assist with social integration.

D4/a-D4/c Housing Objectives	DDG /	Structure	Draft	Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	
				-	1	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	1	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide						
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of						
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the						
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes						
were addressed through other policies.				Not included.		

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE10 (1-2) Cambridge East Housing – Housing Supply Preferred Initial Sustainability PPG / Structure Draft Appraisal Result Summary / **Options** PPS Plan RSS Potential For Alternative Approaches Report Changes Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation Not applicable as issue not Guided by Structure Plan Policy. Opportunities included in Preferred Options for significant alternative approaches are limited. P5/1, P9/1 H1 Not included Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report. report

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: A policy is needed to set out the housing supply for Cambridge East and Phase 1 north of Newmarket Road.

CE10 (3) Cambridge East Housing – Density

PG /	0, ,		Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
PG /	^ 4			illitiai Sustailiability	
	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
PS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				We conclude that this option	
				is not as desirable as Option	
				2 which would provide for	
				higher densities. The	
				decision rests largely on the	
				conclusion that higher	A mixed response to density. Some objection to 50dph being too
				densities need not have an	low in light of PPG3 as it does not make best use of land. Others
				adverse effect on urban	object to 50dph as being too high, worried about leading to slum
			CE17 Housing	design, and that they might	conditions and high crime. Some comments refer to the need for
			Density -	reduce the loss of agricultural	a density gradient to ensure a progressive transition to the rural
PG3	P5/3	SS16	Option 1	and or Green Belt elsewhere.	area.
	S		S Plan RSS	RSS Report CE17 Housing Density - G3 P5/3 SS16 Option 1	S Plan RSS Report Changes We conclude that this option is not as desirable as Option 2 which would provide for higher densities. The decision rests largely on the conclusion that higher densities need not have an adverse effect on urban design, and that they might reduce the loss of agricultural

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: A combination of options CE17 and CE18 to be taken forward in the AAP with a target for "average density in the order of 75dph", but requiring "at least 50dph" across the development as a whole. This policy should also require higher densities in the most accessible locations and provide for lower densities on sensitive outer edges of the development, particularly close to villages, with an emphasis on limiting building heights in these locations.

CE10 (3) Cambridge East Housing – Density

dwellings per hectare where there is a good

accessibility. The only alternative is therefore to

require a higher density for all or some areas of

range of services or good public transport

Cambridge East.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan requires Cambridge East to be a high density development. Creating an urban quarter which makes best use of land, minimises the amount of land that will be need to be taken for development and which provides a basis for sustainable living where services and facilities are nearby for most of its residents means development at average net densities of at least 50 dph across the urban quarter must be achieved. The aim is for the development of net density in the order of 75 dph, based on a design-led approach. Higher densities will be appropriate in the District and Local Centres and around public transport stops where increased density and scale of buildings will also contribute to the design quality of Cambridge East by providing opportunities for landmark buildings and different character areas. However, some areas that are relatively less accessible and which border the countryside will be lower density, with lower buildings heights.

Initial Cuatainability

spaces especially as there

buildings in the area. This

option is also implicitly more

are no existing high-rise

sustainable if the higher

Objection to high density as being more suited to London. Also

infrastructure to serve the development. Some comments refer to

the need for a density gradient to ensure a progressive transition

concerns about slum conditions, high crime and a lack of

to the rural area.

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary	/
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Our conclusion is that this	
					option is marginally superior	
					to Option A (CE17). Higher	
					housing density need not	
					result in compromises on	
					urban open space and can	
					clearly help the viability of the	
					new urban quarter by	
					providing a larger catchment	
					population for shops and	
					facilities. Nevertheless care	
Density requirements are given a clear steer by					will need to be taken in	
Structure Plan policy P5/3, requiring at least 40					designing buildings and open	

CE18 Housing

Density -

SS16 Option 2

PPG3 P5/3

|--|

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: A combination of options CE17 and CE18 to be taken forward in the AAP with a target for "average density in the order of 75dph", but requiring "at least 50dph" across the development as a whole. This policy should also require higher densities in the most accessible locations and provide for lower densities on sensitive outer edges of the development, particularly close to villages, with an emphasis on limiting building heights in these locations.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan requires Cambridge East to be a high density development. Creating an urban quarter which makes best use of land, minimises the amount of land that will be need to be taken for development and which provides a basis for sustainable living where services and facilities are nearby for most of its residents means development at average net densities of at least 50 dph across the urban quarter must be achieved. The aim is for the development of net density in the order of 75 dph, based on a design-led approach. Higher densities will be appropriate in the District and Local Centres and around public transport stops where increased density and scale of buildings will also contribute to the design quality of Cambridge East by providing opportunities for landmark buildings and different character areas. However, some areas that are relatively less accessible and which border the countryside will be lower density, with lower buildings heights.

CE10 (4) Cambridge East Housing – House Type and Quality

OE 10 (+) Dumbing East Housing	11000	c i jpc a	iia Qu	ianty		
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable, although	
				CE19 Housing	sustainability benefits will	
Alternatives are to seek certain housing types, or				Types -	depend on the detailed	Objections to apartments as being out of character with the city
a do nothing option that would leave it to the			SS16,	Preferred	design and layout which are	and leading to parking problems. General support for providing a
market to decide.	PPG3	P5/4	H2	Approach	not discussed in the text.	mix which will allow scope for an imaginative development.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: In order to meet the identified need and to respond to the density requirements, a variety of dwelling types will need to be provided, which will also provide interest in the character and design of the urban quarter. Development of a sustainable community which will meet the needs of current and future residents will require the development of a high quality, attractive environment that functions well and provides dwellings for a range of groups, including affordable housing, lifetime homes, retirement homes, and homes for students and young single people.

CE10 (5) Cambridge East Housing – Affordable Housing

 			$\overline{}$	•		
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE20	Acceptable, particularly if the	Objections on the basis of uncertainties over future funding to
Guided by Structure Plan policy, as well as				Affordable	approach of seeking 50%	deliver. Concerns 50% affordable housing will generate ghetto
national policy, and identified local needs.				Housing -	provision in new	areas. Comments received on the breakdown / mix of affordable
Opportunities for significant alternative			SS13,	Preferred	developments can be	housing types which should be sought. Some support for 50%
approaches are limited.	PPG3	P5/4, P9/1	H2	Approach	achieved.	including houses for Key Workers.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach. Include an indicative tenure mix in the AAP supporting text. Of the 50% affordable housing overall, approx 30% would be social rented and 20% intermediate housing, the actual mix to be determined at the time of an application having regard to identified need and other material considerations.

Justification for Policy Approach: A key driver behind the growth area for the Cambridge Sub-Region is the need to provide more affordable housing in and close to Cambridge and the Structure Plan Policy P9/1requires at least 40% of housing to be affordable, including housing for Key Workers. The Housing Needs Survey (2002) identified a large backlog of housing need and recommended a target of 50% would be justifiable. The actual mix of affordable housing to be provided should be determined at the time of the application, but an indicative mix is 30% social rented and 20% intermediate housing, including for Key Workers, based on the identified housing need.

CE10	(6-10)	Cambridge	Fact Housing -	Affordable Housing
CETU	(D-1U)	Campridge	East Housing -	Affordable Housing

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

Guided by Structure Plan policy, as well as						
national policy, and identified local needs.					Not applicable as issue not	
Opportunities for significant alternative			SS13,		included in Preferred Options	
approaches are limited.	PPG3	P5/4, P9/1	H2	Not included	report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: A key driver behind the growth area for the Cambridge Sub-Region is the need to provide more affordable housing in and close to Cambridge and the Structure Plan Policy P9/1requires at least 40% of housing to be affordable, including housing for Key Workers. The Housing Needs Survey (2002) identified a large backlog of housing need and recommended a target of 50% would be justifiable. The actual mix of affordable housing to be provided should be determined at the time of the application, but an indicative mix is 30% social rented and 20% intermediate housing, including for Key Workers, based on the identified housing need.

D5/a-D5/b Employment Objectives

	DDC /	Cturraturus			Initial Sustainability	
		Structure		•	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide						
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of	f					
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the						
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes						
were addressed through other policies.				Not included.		

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE11 (1) Cambridge East Employme	<u>ent – (</u>	Overall e	mploy	ment provi	sion Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable in a Cambridge	
					area context. The option	
					prioritises housing over	
					employment without	
					excluding the latter, because	
					of the need to address the	
					imbalance between jobs and	
					homes in the Cambridge sub-	
					region. While this limits the	
The Preferred Approach is to help redress the					chance to provide homes and	
balance between housing and jobs, whilst				CE21	obs in close proximity in	
providing for a limited amount of local				Employment -	Cambridge East it is a	
employment. An alternative approach would be				Preferred	positive contribution to the	Seven representations received. General support for trying to
not to include any employment.	L	-	E3	Approach	broader problem.	redress the imbalance between houses and jobs.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue Preferred Approach to employment policy in the AAP, and be consistent with that in the Structure Plan.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan identifies Cambridge East as a strategic employment location. However, the main purpose behind the development strategy of the Structure Plan is to rectify the imbalance between jobs and homes in the Cambridge area. It is therefore important to strike a balance between the provision of some employment to help the urban quarter become a sustainable urban extension and not over providing employment such that the benefits of new housing to serve the existing and proposed employment are not lost. In creating a high density urban quarter, it is inappropriate for employment provision to be made in traditional employment areas which tend to be at lower densities. It is therefore more appropriate to express employment provision in terms of the number of jobs to be provided, rather than an area of land. A total provision of 20-25ha would be appropriate at Cambridge East, which converts to a provision of 4,000-5,000 jobs, based on a net figure. Structure Plan Policy P9/7 reserves employment land for development that can demonstrate a clear need to be located in the area, to serve local needs, or contribute to the continued success of the sub-region. Uses must also be compatible with a predominantly housing development.

0544 (4) 0 - while 5 4 5 1	4 4	3	1		ta ta in	
CE11 (1) Cambridge East Employme Potential For Alternative Approaches		Overall en Structure Plan	mploy Draft RSS	referred Options Report	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
- cromman or y morning or pproduction					As worded this option is	
					roughly as acceptable as	
					Option 2, however we	
					consider the other option	
					provides scope for more	
					flexibility in integrating	
					employment and housing	
					within the settlement to	
					deliver a sustainable	
					community and could result in	
					less land being needed to	
					achieve the same number of	
					jobs. If retained we believe	
				CE22	this option would have to be	
				Employment	reworded slightly to make	Only three representations received in objection to this option;
An alternative approach would be not to include				Provision -	explicit the spatial	Objection to the small allocation for employment; and objection to
any employment or to include more.	-	-	E3	Option 1	implications.	the reference to employment provision instead of jobs provision.
					We conclude that this is a	
					marginally better solution	
					than Option 1. It should	
					enable the Council to deliver	
				CE23	a well balanced and well	
				Employment	integrated development that	
An alternative approach would be not to include				Provision –	meets the objective of	
any employment or to include more.	-	-	E3	Option 2	creating a sustainable	Only two representations received, both in general support.

	community while providing more flexibility in urban design.
--	---

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Option 1 not to be pursued. Pursue Option CE23.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan identifies Cambridge East as a strategic employment location. However, the main purpose behind the development strategy of the Structure Plan is to rectify the imbalance between jobs and homes in the Cambridge area. It is therefore important to strike a balance between the provision of some employment to help the urban quarter become a sustainable urban extension and not over providing employment such that the benefits of new housing to serve the existing and proposed employment are not lost. In creating a high density urban quarter, it is inappropriate for employment provision to be made in traditional employment areas which tend to be at lower densities. It is therefore more appropriate to express employment provision in terms of the number of jobs to be provided, rather than an area of land. A total provision of 20-25ha would be appropriate at Cambridge East, which converts to a provision of 4,000-5,000 jobs, based on a net figure. Structure Plan Policy P9/7 reserves employment land for development that can demonstrate a clear need to be located in the area, to serve local needs, or contribute to the continued success of the sub-region. Uses must also be compatible with a predominantly housing development.

CE11 (2-3) Cambridge East Employment – Location and type of employment										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
Guided by Structure Plan Policy, as well as										
national planning policies, there are no										
reasonable alternatives to the types of										
employment development, but an alternative, but										
inferior, approach to the location of employment					Not applicable as issue not					
uses could be to locate in a separate					included in Preferred Options					
employment only area.	-	-	E3	Not included	report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.				

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan identifies Cambridge East as a strategic employment location. However, the main purpose behind the development strategy of the Structure Plan is to rectify the imbalance between jobs and homes in the Cambridge area. It is therefore important to strike a balance between the provision of some employment to help the urban quarter become a sustainable urban extension and not over providing employment such that the benefits of new housing to serve the existing and proposed employment are not lost. In creating a high density urban quarter, it is inappropriate for employment provision to be made in traditional employment areas which tend to be at lower densities. It is therefore more appropriate to express employment provision in terms of the number of jobs to be provided, rather than an area of land. A total provision of 20-25ha would be appropriate at Cambridge East, which converts to a provision of 4,000-5,000 jobs, based on a net figure. Structure Plan Policy P9/7 reserves employment land for development that can demonstrate a clear need to be located in the area, to serve local needs, or contribute to the continued success of the sub-region. Uses must also be compatible with a predominantly housing development.

D6/a-D6/f Community Facilities, Leis		Arts and (Structure		Preferred	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary	
	PPG /	Structure		Options	' '	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide						
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of						
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the						
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes						
were addressed through other policies.						

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE12 (1-5) Community Services Facilities, Leisure, Leisure, Arts and Culture – Publicly Provided Services and Facilities										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
					Acceptable. We recommend					
				CE24 Publicly	that the Councils add further					
				Provided	text to the policy seeking to	Objections to providing a wish list of facilities which will serve the				
				Community	exploit potential of broadband	wider community, concern over phasing before houses are built,				
				Services,	telecoms infrastructure in	and concerns over publicly funding certain facilities and services.				
				Facilities,	delivering community	Objection to the lack of recognition for the role of the voluntary				
				Leisure, Art	services and that the	sector in providing some community facilities and opportunities for				
			SS12,	and Culture -	community is consulted on	co-location and joint provision. Also general support for a health				
Procedural policy to ensure adequate provision of	•		C3,	Preferred	the priority for delivering	campus, providing a wider range of services than a conventional				
services, with no reasonable alternatives.	PPS6	P6/1	C4, C5	Approach	services.	health centre.				

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach. The AAP should recognise the role that voluntary bodies could play in helping provide community services.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is vital that new residents have access to services and facilities, in order to create a viable sustainable urban extension. Before planning permission could be granted, the needs of the development must be determined in accordance with detailed assessments, prepared in consultation with service providers. Some of this work will be carried out in partnership with Cambridgeshire Horizons. This will lead to the preparation of strategies setting out the services and facilities required of the development and a phasing plan for the timely delivery of publicly provided community services, facilities, leisure, art and culture, including the provision of key services and facilities for early phases of the development. This will form the basis of a planning obligation.

CE12 (6-9) Community Services Fac	ilities	, Leisure	, Leis	ure, Arts an	nd Culture – Commercially Provided Services and Facilities
Potential For Alternative Approaches		Structure Plan	Draft RSS		Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

				Report	Changes	
				CE25	Acceptable. We recommend	
				Commercially	that the Councils add further	
				Provided	text to the policy seeking to	
				Community	exploit potential of broadband	
				Services,	telecoms infrastructure in	
				Facilities,	delivering community	
				Leisure, Art	services and that the	
			SS12,	and Culture	community is consulted on	General support to secure the necessary facilities to serve the
Procedural policy to ensure adequate provision of			C3,	Preferred	the priority for delivering	new development, although concerns about phasing with regards
services, with no reasonable alternatives.	PPS6	P6/1	C4, C5	Approach	services.	north of Newmarket Road.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Not all services and facilities will be provided by the public sector and community sectors. A large number of facilities at Cambridge East will be provided commercially e.g. health and fitness clubs, public houses etc. Some of these would be considered essential to the development of a successful community and there will need to be some certainty that they will be capable of being provided. This will be particularly important in the early phases of development in order to ensure that Cambridge East has a basic range of services and facilities which will help attract its first residents. The priorities for commercial leisure provision will be considered in consultation with potential service providers and other neighbouring local authorities in order that deficiencies and priorities can be identified. The needs of the development will be identified as part of the assessment and strategy referred to for publicly provided services and facilities above.

CE12 (10) Community Services Facil	<u>lities, L</u>	<u>.eisure,</u>	<u>Leisu</u>	re, Arts and	Culture – Location of	Services and Facilities
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

Guided by Structure Plan policy, as well as					Not applicable as issue not	
national policy. Opportunities for significant	PPG3,				included in Preferred Options	
alternative approaches are limited.	PPG13	-	-	Not included	report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: Addressed in the District and Local Centres policies - locating facilities and services in these locations would provide local neighbourhoods with better accessibility to them, and a mix of uses which will assist with their vitality and viability.

CE12 (11) Community Services Facil	<u>lities, l</u>	_eisure,	<u>Leisı</u>	ire, Arts and	l Culture – Public Art	
•				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable. Providing	
					facilities for a broader sub-	
					regional market will hopefully	
					improve range and quality,	
					and will provide for a wider	
					catchment giving more	
					chance that the facilities will	
					be well-sustained. Co-	
					ocation of good quality	
Two options - Option 1 to provide for additional				CE31 Leisure,	leisure facilities with good	
facilities to serve the city and sub-region and				Art and Culture	quality retail areas in a	
Option 2 to provide solely for the needs of the				- Preferred	locality served by good	General support for contributing to the needs of the sub-region as
new residents. No reasonable alternatives.		P6/1		Option	quality public transport should	well as immediate new residents.

		also encourage modal shift.	

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue Option CE31.

Justification for Policy Approach: Cambridge East provides the opportunity to provide a range of facilities for leisure, the arts and culture to serve the new development and a wider needs of the City and potentially the Sub-Region, provided it is complementary to, and does not compete with the city centre function. This could include commercial leisure facilities such as a cinema or ice rink, where there is insufficient space for them in the city centre.

CE12 (11) Community Services Facilities, Leisure, Leisure, Arts and Culture - Public Art

DETECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T									
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability				
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /				
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation			
					We concur with the Council's				
					rejection of this option as it				
					may limit the range of				
Two options - Option 1 to provide for additional				CE32 Leisure,	facilities that could be				
facilities to serve the city and sub-region and				Art and Culture	provided, restricting demand;				
Option 2 to provide solely for the needs of the				- Alternative	this may make facilities less	General support for some facilities having a City or sub-region			
new residents. No reasonable alternatives.		P6/1		Option	viable in the long term.	function.			

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Alternative Option.

Justification for Policy Approach: Cambridge East provides the opportunity to provide a range of facilities for leisure, the arts and culture to serve the new development and a wider needs of the City and potentially the Sub-Region, provided it is complementary to, and does not compete with the city centre function. This could include commercial leisure facilities such as a cinema or ice rink, where there is insufficient space for them in the city centre.

CE12 (12-13) Community Services Facilities, Leisure, Leisure, Arts and Culture – Phase 1 North of Newmarket Road										
CE 12 (12-13) Community Services		Structure		Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	L	Plan	RSS	Report	' '	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
					Not applicable as issue not					
Procedural policy with no reasonable					included in Preferred Options					
alternatives.	-	-	-	Not included	report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.				

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is not possible or appropriate for the AAP as a high level planning policy document prepared at an early stage in the process of developing the urban quarter to be prescriptive about Phase 1. A comprehensive strategy should be devised to address these issues.

CE12 Community Services Facilities, Leisure, Leisure, Arts and Culture

212 Community Control of Administration and Control of Auto and Co									
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability				
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /				
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation			
						Support for the provision of appropriate land for buildings for			
An alternative approach would be not to include				CE29 Faith -	Acceptable - we assume the	worship, but concerns that the policy should be more specific			
any provision for faith, or provision in an				Preferred	facilities would be multi-	towards which faith groups will be served and that it should not be			
alternative location.		P6/1	SS12	Approach	denominational.	provided from the public purse.			

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Cambridge City Council has carried out an initial consultation with faith groups based in the City on their needs over the plan period. This suggests a need for the provision of additional buildings for worship, which should be located where they are most accessible, for example, in the District Centre.

CE12 Community Services Facilities, Leisure, Leisure, Arts and Culture

-				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable, although the	
					Councils should make clear	
				CE26	what secondary education will	
				Education:	be provided for residents of	
				Preference for	the area north of Newmarket	
The Preferred Approach would allow for the				Community	Road since this area will be	Objection to the secondary school being provided in the District
shared use of schools by the wider community.				Schools -	occupied before the school	Centre due to potential management and security issues. General
An alternative approach would be not to provide				Preferred	within the new settlement is	support for primary schools to provide the community focus for
such shared use.		P6/1	SS12	Approach	built.	district and local centres.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach. Pursue the Preferred Approach. The AAP should make it clear that the secondary school should not be located in the district centre in view of potential management and security issues.

Justification for Policy Approach: 10,000-12,000 dwellings will generate a need for 6-7 primary schools and one secondary school. All schools will be community schools, with focus on the family and could include other associated facilities such as early years' provision, health and out of school clubs. The Secondary school will be a large school which would enable it to make a wider provision for joint community use, building on the tradition for South Cambs and Cambridge City. It will be provided at a Local Centre rather than the District Centre in view of potential management and security issues. This would provide a more appropriate environment for pupils, at lunchtimes and before / after school. Having this type of facility with its wider community role attached to a Local Centre would generate a higher order of facilities and a wider range than would be supported at other Local Centres.

CE12 Community Services Facilities, Leisure, Leisure, Arts and Culture										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
					Acceptable, although the					
					provision of emergency					
					health services should be					
					mentioned, particularly for the					
					area north of Newmarket					
				CE30	Road.					
				Emergency						
				Services -	The Council proposes to					
				Preferred	reword this option to clarify					
No reasonable alternatives.		P6/1		Approach	these points.	General support but concerns over phasing.				

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: The police require accommodation (approx. 1,000sq.m) within or near to the District Centre, which could be shared with another suitable partner. The fire service has identified a need for a 2 bay fire station if their preferred approach of installing sprinklers in all domestic premises cannot be achieved. The needs of the ambulance service are still being assessed.

D7a-D7I Transport Objectives						
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

Although theoretically there is potential for a wide		
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of		
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the		
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes		
were addressed through other policies.	Not included.	

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE13 Road Infrastructure

<u>DE 10 Noad Illinastractare</u>										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
					Not applicable as issue not					
Procedural policy with no reasonable		P8/1,			included in Preferred Options					
alternatives.	PPG13	P8/10		Not included	report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.				

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: A policy is necessary to ensure suitable arrangements are in place for the provision of the necessary highway capacity to make the scheme acceptable in planning terms.

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					None of the options offers a	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/9. Four					clear advantage compared to	
options - Option 1 a new interchange at Honey					the others. Options 2 and 3	
Hill to replace Ditton Lane and Quy; Option 2					involve additional land take	
Ditton Lane interchange restricted to public					whereas this option makes	
transport; Option 3 junction improvements to					use of the existing	
existing; Option 4 a half interchange with west					infrastructure. All options	
facing slips at Honey Hill. An alternative would				CE38 A14	would probably have some	
be to do nothing or provision of a new junction in				Interchanges _	impact in the existing traffic	Concerns were expressed over the capacity of local roads, traffic
a different location.	PPG13	P9/9	T1	Option 1	flow.	congestion, noise pollution and environmental issues.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue Option CE40 subject to the following amendments: no change to current junctions at Ditton Lane and Quy for north of Newmarket Road; development of the Airport site will be dependent upon provision of improved and satisfactory access arrangements to A14 junction improvements, or provision of a new junction; design of north of Newmarket Road should not preclude future provision of a new junction.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P9/9 requires the provision of a new access onto the A14 to replace the existing Fen Ditton interchange. However, it has yet to be determined how best to provide improved access to the A14 whilst minimising impact upon the A14. The County's Long Term Transport Strategy will be an important step in this regard. The existing junction configuration should be retained in respect of Phase 1, which is not dependent upon any improvement, although the design of Phase 1 should not preclude future provision.

CE13 (2) Roa	I Infrastructure -	A14 Access
--------------	--------------------	------------

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

					Not sustainable. This policy	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/9. Four					could result in considerable	
options - Option 1 a new interchange at Honey					land take and would not be	
Hill to replace Ditton Lane and Quy; Option 2					consistent with aims of	
Ditton Lane interchange restricted to public					moving towards demand	
transport; Option 3 junction improvements to					management (national policy	
existing; Option 4 a half interchange with west					objective) or the aim of	Object because developments will all use inadequate, unsuitable
facing slips at Honey Hill. An alternative would				CE39 A14	promoting sustainable	and already congested roads for increased traffic. Some support
be to do nothing or provision of a new junction in				Interchanges _	transport systems within the	for relying on two existing interchanges and restricting Ditton Lane
a different location.	PPG13	P9/9	T1	Option 2	sub-region.	to public transport only.
		• "	0=40			

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue Option CE40 subject to the following amendments: no change to current junctions at Ditton Lane and Quy for north of Newmarket Road; development of the Airport site will be dependent upon provision of improved and satisfactory access arrangements to A14 junction improvements, or provision of a new junction; design of north of Newmarket Road should not preclude future provision of a new junction.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P9/9 requires the provision of a new access onto the A14 to replace the existing Fen Ditton interchange. However, it has yet to be determined how best to provide improved access to the A14 whilst minimising impact upon the A14. The County's Long Term Transport Strategy will be an important step in this regard. The existing junction configuration should be retained in respect of Phase 1, which is not dependent upon any improvement, although the design of Phase 1 should not preclude future provision.

CE13 (2) Road Infrastructure - A14 Access

	PPG /	Structure	Draft		Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS		RSS	Report	,	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/9. Four					On balance we consider this	
options - Option 1 a new interchange at Honey					is the more sustainable option	
Hill to replace Ditton Lane and Quy; Option 2					since it maintains road	Objections on the basis that Ditton Lane is unsuitable through
Ditton Lane interchange restricted to public				CE40 A14	configurations bringing traffic	road access to A14 as it is heavily congested; this option would
transport; Option 3 junction improvements to				Interchanges _	into the settlement along an	not meet Structure Plan requirements; and would be enormously
existing; Option 4 a half interchange with west	PPG13	P9/9	T1	Option 3	established main route,	destructive of what remains of countryside in this area.

facing slips at Honey Hill. An alternative would		avoiding the impacts	
be to do nothing or provision of a new junction in		associated with bringing in	
a different location.		traffic from a new junction to	
		the north.	

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue Option CE40 subject to the following amendments: no change to current junctions at Ditton Lane and Quy for north of Newmarket Road; development of the Airport site will be dependent upon provision of improved and satisfactory access arrangements to A14 junction improvements, or provision of a new junction; design of north of Newmarket Road should not preclude future provision of a new junction.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P9/9 requires the provision of a new access onto the A14 to replace the existing Fen Ditton interchange. However, it has yet to be determined how best to provide improved access to the A14 whilst minimising impact upon the A14. The County's Long Term Transport Strategy will be an important step in this regard. The existing junction configuration should be retained in respect of Phase 1, which is not dependent upon any improvement, although the design of Phase 1 should not preclude future provision.

CE13 (2) Road Infrastructure - A14 Access

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					This is a less sustainable	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/9. Four					solution. Its design appears	
options - Option 1 a new interchange at Honey					to reflect concerns about	
Hill to replace Ditton Lane and Quy; Option 2					commuting traffic between	
Ditton Lane interchange restricted to public					eastern Cambridge and the	
transport; Option 3 junction improvements to					Science Park. We believe	Objections as Wilbraham Fen SSSI lies to the immediate south of
existing; Option 4 a half interchange with west					that this issue should be	the Quy interchange; raise pressure for a road to be created from
facing slips at Honey Hill. An alternative would				CE41 A14	addressed in the first instance	the residential site onto High Ditch Road; unnecessary in traffic
be to do nothing or provision of a new junction in				Interchanges _	by providing good quality bus	terms and would bring unnecessary engineering works into the
a different location.	PPG13	P9/9	T1	Option 4	links.	Green Belt.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue Option CE40 subject to the following amendments: no change to current junctions at Ditton Lane and Quy for north of Newmarket Road; development of the Airport site will be dependent upon provision of improved and satisfactory access arrangements to A14 junction improvements, or provision of a new junction; design of north of Newmarket Road should not preclude future provision of a new junction.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P9/9 requires the provision of a new access onto the A14 to replace the existing Fen Ditton interchange. However, it has yet to be determined how best to provide improved access to the A14 whilst minimising impact upon the A14. The County's Long Term Transport Strategy will be an important step in this regard. The existing junction configuration should be retained in respect of Phase 1, which is not dependent upon any improvement, although the design of Phase 1 should not preclude future provision.

CE13 (3) Road Infrastructure – Primary Road Access

<u>OLIO (O) ITOUGI IIII GOTI GOTGI O I IIIII</u>	<u>,</u>	44 / 1000	<u> </u>			
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Cambridge East will be a	
					large urban extension, so	
					restricting access to just five	
					points could create	
					bottlenecks around and within	
					the development. At this	
					point it is not possible to	
					udge the impact without	
					more detail of the layout of	
				CE34 Road	the site, although the strong	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/9. An				Access -	promotion of sustainable	Some general support but concerns that existing roads are
alternative approach could be for a different		P8/2,		Preferred	transport policy should	already heavily congested and feeling that nothing will improve
number or location of road accesses.	PPG13	P9/9	T1	Approach	reduce the impact over time.	this and may worsen the situation.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach subject to the following amendments: access onto Airport Way to be only at the Gazelle Way roundabout and the access to Barnwell Road to avoid crossing the LNR and otherwise minimise the impact on the reserve.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P9/9 requires a new access road for north of Cherry Hinton. This is just one of the five new access points needed, to be phased, to support the development. Where possible, improvements to existing infrastructure are proposed to minimise the environmental impact. The AAP is a high level document and will be reviewed early to provide a clearer understanding of the necessary infrastructure. The County Council's Long Term Transport Strategy will be an important step in this regard.

CE13 (4-6) Road Infrastructure – Mitigating Traffic Impact

7E13 (4-0) Road Infrastructure – Witigating Traine Impact										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
Guided by Structure Plan policy, as well as					Not applicable as issue not					
national policy. Opportunities for significant					included in Preferred Options					
alternative approaches are limited.	PPG13	P8/3	-	Not included	report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.				

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: A policy is necessary to ensure suitable arrangements are in place for the provision of the necessary mitigation measures to make the scheme acceptable in planning terms.

Droforrad

CE13 (7	7) Road	Infrastructure -	· Orbital	Movements
---------	---------	------------------	-----------	------------------

				Preferred	illitiai Sustailiability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

Initial Sustainability

					None of the options offers a	
					clear advantage compared to	
					the others. Options 2 and 3	
					involve additional land take	
					whereas this option makes	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/9. Three					use of the existing	
options - Option 1 to improve capacity on existing					infrastructure. All options	
routes for all traffic; Option 2 to build additional				CE35 Orbital	would probably have some	Mixed response with some support for improving orbital capacity,
roads; Option 3 development orbital routes for		P8/2,		Movements -	impact in the existing traffic	but opposition to creating a ring road effect, encouraging
public transport only. No reasonable alternatives.	PPG13	P9/9	T1	Option 1	flow.	development up to the boundary, and generating extra traffic.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue Option 1 (CE35) subject to further examination upon the review of the AAP.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P9/9 recognises the need to accommodate orbital movements around Cambridge to avoid the city centre and connect the major development sites. The County Council as highways authority will keep under review the capacity of orbital routes, and if traffic forecasts demonstrate that additional capacity will be needed over the lifetime of the development, developer contributions will be required towards such. The County Council's Long Term Transport Strategy will be an important step in this regard.

CE13 (7) Road Infrastructure – Orbital Movements

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Not sustainable. This policy	
					could result in considerable	
					and take and would not be	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/9. Three					consistent with aims of	
options - Option 1 to improve capacity on existing					moving towards demand	Mixed response with some support for improving orbital capacity,
routes for all traffic; Option 2 to build additional				CE36 Orbital	management (national policy	but opposition that orbital roads are not the most efficient means
roads; Option 3 development orbital routes for		P8/2,		Movements -	objective) or the aim of	of distributing travel movements and are likely to induce extra car
public transport only. No reasonable alternatives	.PPG13	P9/9	T1	Option 2	promoting sustainable	travel.

		transport systems within the sub-region.	

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Option 2 (CE36). Pursue Option 1 (CE35) subject to further examination upon the review of the AAP.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P9/9 recognises the need to accommodate orbital movements around Cambridge to avoid the city centre and connect the major development sites. The County Council as highways authority will keep under review the capacity of orbital routes, and if traffic forecasts demonstrate that additional capacity will be needed over the lifetime of the development, developer contributions will be required towards such. The County Council's Long Term Transport Strategy will be an important step in this regard.

CE13 (7) Road Infrastructure – Orbital Movements

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Not sustainable. This policy	
					could result in considerable	
					and take and would not be	
					consistent with aims of	
					moving towards demand	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/9. Three					management (national policy	
options - Option 1 to improve capacity on existing					objective) or the aim of	Mixed response with some support for improving orbital capacity
routes for all traffic; Option 2 to build additional				CE37 Orbital	promoting sustainable	for public transport only, but opposition to creating a ring road
roads; Option 3 development orbital routes for		P8/2,		Movements -	transport systems within the	effect, encouraging development up to the boundary, generating
public transport only. No reasonable alternatives	.PPG13	P9/9	T1	Option 3	sub-region.	extra traffic and public transport not moving orbitally.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Option 3 (CE37). Pursue Option 1 (CE35) subject to further examination upon the review of the AAP.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P9/9 recognises the need to accommodate orbital movements around Cambridge to avoid the city centre and connect the major development sites. The County Council as highways authority will keep under review the capacity of orbital routes, and if traffic forecasts demonstrate that additional capacity will be needed over the lifetime of the development, developer contributions will be required towards such. The County Council's Long Term Transport Strategy will be an important step in this regard.

CE13 (8) Road Infrastructure – Park and Ride

OE 10 (0) Roda IIII dott dotale T dik	PPG /	Structure	Draft		Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable - some limited	
					biodiversity impacts are offset	
					by the need to relocate this	
					facility to encourage public	
Alternative approaches could be to leave the site				CE43 Park and	transport use and generate	
where it is, which would miss the opportunity for				Ride -	compensating benefits in	Objections to the relocation of the Park and Ride site as
the development of a recreational urban park, or				Preferred	public open space provision	unnecessary, resulting in a loss of Green Belt, and alternative
find alternative locations for it to move to.	PPG13			Approach	in the development itself.	ocations suggested.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: CE/3 (1) proposes the site for Cambridge East, which includes the present Newmarket Road Park and Ride site, which is to be relocated to allow a holistic approach to development north of Newmarket Road. Although not part of Phase 1, the site will need to be relocated prior to development of subsequent phases north of Newmarket Road to ensure continued operation. It is proposed to relocate the site adjacent to the country park, which could intercept traffic further out of the city and reduce the volume of traffic along Newmarket Road, and would provide a shared parking facility for users of the Country Park, obviating the need for a further car parking facility and minimising the impact on the environment.

CE14 (1) Alternative Modes and Parking

OL 14 (1) Alternative Wodes and Far		Structure	Preferred Ontions	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches			 Report	' '	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				Not applicable as issue not	
Procedural policy with no reasonable		P8/1 - 6,		included in Preferred Options	
alternatives.	PPG13	P8/8 - 10	Not included	report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: A policy is necessary to ensure suitable arrangements are in place for the provision of alternative modes and car and cycle parking to make the scheme acceptable in planning terms.

CE14 (2-4) Alternative Modes and Parking – Public Transport

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
		P8/1,				
		P8/2,		CE42 External		
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/9. An		P8/3,		Public		General support provided public transport will be initiated quickly.
alternative approach could be for a different		P8/6,	T1,	Transport –		Objection to the lack of recognition of Fleam Dyke which is
number of routes, to different destination points in	n	P8/10,	T13,	Preferred		archaeological importance and the guided bus severing the green
the City, along different routes.	PPG13	P9/9	T14	Approach	Acceptable.	corridor and / or damaging areas of ecological value.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue this approach. Add a statement concerning the need to minimise and mitigate the environmental impacts of the public transport routes.

Justification for Policy Approach: For Cambridge East to be sustainable all development will need to be within 400m of HQPT, to provide travel choice. Structure Plan Policy P9/9 requires the provision of a rapid transit link to the City Centre, which will be the focus for most routes, with associated bus priority measures. Other HQPT links should be provided to provide links to key destinations around Cambridge including Cambridge Northern Fringe (including the Science Park and new Chesterton Interchange), the Railway Station and Addenbrooke's Hospital. These will provide further opportunities for multi-modal interchange to other destinations around the city and beyond.

CE14 (5) Alternative Modes and Parking – Cycle, Pedestrian and Horse Riding Infrastructure

	DDO /	044		Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
		P8/1,			Acceptable. We also suggest	
		P8/2,			that the option should be	
		P8/3,			reworded because providing	
		P8/8,		CE44 External	cycleways does not ensure	
		P8/9,	T1,	Cycle Links -	the target will be met - it	General support, but concerns regarding lighting. Some
		P8/10,	T12,	Preferred	makes its achievement more	objections to further encroachment onto Commons by tarmac
	PPG13	P9/9	T14	Option	likely.	cycleways.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Various Structure Plan policies promote the use of sustainable modes of transport - walking and cycling. Cambridge East presents the opportunity to plan at the outset for these modes (and horse riders). A network of routes, with associated infrastructure, will be provided both within the development and connecting with the rest of Cambridge, surrounding villages and the wider rights of way network. Lighting is important for safety, and will be provided in accordance with the surroundings.

CE14 (5) Alternative Modes and Parking – Cycle, Pedestrian and Horse Riding Infrastructure											
	PPG /	Structure		Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /						
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation					
					This option provides for unlit						
		P8/1,			cycleways which, while						
		P8/2,			reducing light pollution, has						
		P8/3,			consequences for cyclists'						
		P8/8,		CE45 External	personal safety. If option						
		P8/9,	T1,	Cycle Links -	CE44 results in lighting at						
		P8/10,	T12,	Alternative	appropriate places then this	Objections as lighting is seen as essential for personal security					
	PPG13	P9/9	T14	Option	option is less attractive.	and general cycle usage.					

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue the Alternative Option.

Justification for Policy Approach: Various Structure Plan policies promote the use of sustainable modes of transport - walking and cycling. Cambridge East presents the opportunity to plan at the outset for these modes (and horse riders). A network of routes, with associated infrastructure, will be provided both within the development and connecting with the rest of Cambridge, surrounding villages and the wider rights of way network. Lighting is important for safety, and will be provided in accordance with the surroundings.

CE14 (5) Alternative Medes and Bar	rkina	Cuala D	odoci	trian and Ua	urca Didina Infractruatu	
CE14 (5) Alternative Modes and Par	<u> kilig –</u>	cycle, P	<u>eues</u>	LIIAII AIIU MU	ise Kiuniy iiniasirucii	<u>iie</u>
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

	P8/1, P8/2, P8/3, P8/8,			This option and supporting text does not clarify how much of the infrastructure would be shared. While cycling facilities need to be integrated with other forms of sustainable transport there is no reason why they must share infrastructure. Separation of cycle routes from all forms of traffic as far as possible appears to be a
			CE46 External	
			1	preferred option and therefore
	1		Rejected	we concur with the Council's
PPG13	P9/9	T14	Option	decision to reject this option. General support for the rejection of this option.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue the Rejected Option.

Justification for Policy Approach: Various Structure Plan policies promote the use of sustainable modes of transport - walking and cycling. Cambridge East presents the opportunity to plan at the outset for these modes (and horse riders). A network of routes, with associated infrastructure, will be provided both within the development and connecting with the rest of Cambridge, surrounding villages and the wider rights of way network. Lighting is important for safety, and will be provided in accordance with the surroundings.

CE14 (6) Alternative Medes and De	CE14 (6) Alternative Modes and Parking – Car and Cycle Parking Standards										
CE 14 (b) Alternative Wodes and Pa	<u>rking –</u>	<u>Car anu</u>	Cycle	e Parking Si	<u>lanuarus</u>						
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability						
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary						
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation					

					Acceptable. We recommend	
					the option text could be	
					clarified to distinguish	
					between residents' parking	
					provision and that for retail /	
					service areas. In the	
					immediate period after the	
					District Centre is operational	
					car parking controls should	
					not be so strict that they	
					discourage people from	
					visiting the facilities,	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P8/5. A variety				CE48 Car	especially those from outside	
of options exist as to what car parking standards				Parking	Cambridge East. A modal	
should be applied, but reasonable options are			T1,	Standards –	shift is encouraged this option	
selected on the basis on PPG13 and policies in	PPG3,		T14,	Preferred	could be superseded by	General support but the standard will need to be reviewed over
the City Council's Local Plan.	PPG13	P8/5	T16	Approach	CE49.	time.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach. Include parking standards within the AAP.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P8/5 requires car parking standards to be expressed as Maximum Standards and lower levels to be provided where means of travel other than the private car are available or can be provided and where high density development associated with central facilities limits the potential for car parking. It is important to create a culture where the car is the least preferred mode within the development. This will partly be influenced by car parking standards. The standards in the City Local Plan will form the starting point, as Cambridge East is an urban extension. Lower provision will be expected in locations close to facilities and services and HQPT. Developers will be required to demonstrate they have considered opportunities for reducing car parking, through shared provision and / or car pooling schemes, as is more conducive to high density development. Account will be had to the need to provide enough car parking in the initial phases of development at the District / Local Centres to ensure their viability, therefore there is flexibility.

CE49 Car

Standards -

Alternative

Approach

Parking

CE14 (6) Alternative Modes and Parking – Car and Cycle Parking Standards										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
					As explained for CE48 it will					
					be important not to control					
					parking so strictly initially that					
					people are discouraged from					

visiting the District Centre, but

A mixed response with some support for more stringent standards

stringent approach as inappropriate for an edge of Cambridge site.

in areas of high density development but also objection to a

in due course this more

stringent option could be

modal shift.

implemented to encourage

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

PPG3.

PPG13 P8/5

Guided by Structure Plan policy P8/5. A variety

of options exist as to what car parking standards

selected on the basis on PPG13 and policies in

should be applied, but reasonable options are

the City Council's Local Plan.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P8/5 requires car parking standards to be expressed as Maximum Standards and lower levels to be provided where means of travel other than the private car are available or can be provided and where high density development associated with central facilities limits the potential for car parking. It is important to create a culture where the car is the least preferred mode within the development. This will partly be influenced by car parking standards. The standards in the City Local Plan will form the starting point, as Cambridge East is an urban extension. Lower provision will be expected in locations close to facilities and services and HQPT. Developers will be required to demonstrate they have considered opportunities for reducing car parking, through shared provision and / or car pooling schemes, as is more conducive to high density development. Account will be had to the need to provide enough car parking in the initial phases of development at the District / Local Centres to ensure their viability, therefore there is flexibility.

CE14 (6) Alternative Modes and Par	king – (Car and	Cycle	Parking St	<u>andards</u>	
					Initial Sustainability	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

				Report	Changes	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P8/8. A variety						
of options exist as to what cycle parking						
standards should be applied, but reasonable					Not applicable as issue not	
options are selected on the basis on PPG13 and					included in Preferred Options	
policies in the City Council's Local Plan.	PPG13	P8/8	-	Not included	report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P8/8 requires the provision of adequate cycle parking. It is important to create a culture where the car is the least preferred mode within the development. This will partly be influenced by car parking standards and availability of high quality cycle infrastructure, including secure parking, particularly at key destinations. The minimum standards in the City Local Plan will form the starting point, as Cambridge East is an urban extension. However, there may be some locations, such as at the District and Local Centres where higher standards will be expected, to meet the needs of a high density development.

CE14 (1-5) Alternative Modes and Parking

OL 14 (1-3) Alternative Wodes and I	arking					
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
		P8/1,				
		P8/2,				
		P8/3,				
		P8/8,	T1,	CE47 Internal		General support for a network of dedicated and segregated routes
Guided by Structure Plan policy P8/2. An		P8/9,	T12,	Trips -		for cyclists and pedestrians. But objections to the targets for bus
alternative approach could be a different		P8/10,	T13,	Preferred		stops as they mean nothing if the buses don't go where people
threshold for accessibility to a bus stop.	PPG13	P9/9	T14	Approach	Acceptable.	want to go or the buses cannot cope with people with shopping.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: This principle has been developed in CE/14 (1-5).

CE15 (1) Transport for North of Newmarket Road

	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable, though possibly	
					the option should have	
					additional wording to	
				CE33	emphasise the priority given	
				Transport for	to walking and cycling.	Some general support but objections on the basis that there is
				North of		already major traffic problems. Specific objections received -
				Newmarket	Add bullet point: "Internal	there should be no access onto High Ditch Road (even for public
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/9, the			T1,	Road -	design to prioritise internal	transport); north of Newmarket Road would require two vehicular
Preferred Approach includes provision for all		P8/2,	T12,	Preferred	movements by foot or cycle	access points; north of Newmarket road shouldn't be required to
modes. No reasonable alternatives.	PPG13	P9/9	T13	Approach	rather than the car."	contribute towards the A14 junction improvements.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach subject to the following amendments: two road access points to Newmarket Road, use Redeposit Draft Cambridge Local Plan Car Parking standards, design should not prevent future provision of public transport only access onto High Ditch Road, design of north of Newmarket Road should not preclude future provision of a new junction onto the A14 between the existing Quy and Ditton Lane junctions, as a replacement for the Ditton Lane junction.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Structure Plan requires all new development to make provision for integrated and improved transport infrastructure to increase the ability to move by cycle, public transport and on foot (Policy P8/2). The first phase of development north of Newmarket road could generate in the order of 8,500 trips into and out of the site each day by all modes and it is necessary to provide adequate infrastructure to serve the development.

CE15 (2) Transport for North of Newmarket

or it (2) manaport for iteration items	2210 (2) Hallo Date (a) Hallo at Hallo (1) (a)										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability						
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /						
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation					
					Not applicable as issue not						
Options examined at CE13 (2) Road					included in Preferred Options						
Infrastructure - A14 Access Road	PPG13	P9/9	T1	Not included	report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.					

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P9/9 requires the provision of a new access onto the A14 to replace the existing Fen Ditton interchange. However, it has yet to be determined how best to provide improved access to the A14 whilst minimising impact upon the A14. The County's Long Term Transport Strategy will be an important step in this regard. The existing junction configuration should be retained in respect of Phase 1, which is not dependent upon any improvement, although the design of Phase 1 should not preclude future provision

D8a-D8h Landscape Objectives							
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability		
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /		
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary	y of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide							
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of	f						
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the							
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes							
were addressed through other policies.				Not included.			

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE16 (1-5) Landscape Principles

OL 10 (1-0) Landscape i inicipies						
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Not applicable as issue not	
					included in Preferred Options	
There are no reasonable alternatives.	-	P7/4	-	Not included	report.	Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as issue not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is not possible or appropriate for the AAP as a high level planning policy document prepared at an early stage in the process of developing the urban quarter to be prescriptive about landscape. This will be addressed through various strategies, including a Landscape Strategy.

CE17 (1-7) Landscaping within Cambridge East

OE17 (1-7) Landscaping within Cambridge Last										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				

A variety of options exist as to how to provide for						
landscaping within the new urban quarter, but is	İ					
guided by Structure Plan Policy P7/4 and to	1				Not applicable as not	
some extent by the character of Cambridge city	1				included in Preferred Options	
and the immediate surroundings.	٢	P7/4	-	Not included	report.	Not applicable as not included in Preferred Options report.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Not applicable as not included in Preferred Options report.

Justification for Policy Approach: A policy is necessary to set out comprehensively the requirements for a variety of landscaping measures appropriate to specific parts of the new urban quarter, to ensure sensitive integration.

CE17 (8) Landscaping within Cambridge East - The Landscaping of Open Spaces

DETY (b) Earla Scaping Within Cambridge East. The Earla Scaping of Open Opaces										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
				CE68						
				Landscaping	Acceptable, however the					
				Recreational	biodiversity benefits for this					
				Areas -	area would be maximised by					
				Preferred	retaining natural vegetation	General support for this approach, although "naturalistic planting"				
There are no reasonable alternatives.		P7/4	SS8	Approach	as far as is practicable.	should be defined.				

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: A Landscape Strategy will be prepared for the whole urban quarter which incorporates a range of landscape character to reflect the character of the area, and may include formal tree and shrub planting within the denser urban areas, to areas of more naturalistic planting within larger open spaces and boundary areas. The retention and management of existing established trees and hedges should be encouraged resulting in early landscape benefits and minimising the loss of established habitats, where this is consistent with the landscape strategy.

	PPG /	Structure	Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	
otential For Alternative Approaches			Report	1	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: A policy is necessary to ensure adequate landscaping of a nature in keeping with its surroundings is provided to mitigate the impact of new access roads and ensure landscaped areas and open areas are connected to provide a comprehensive green and landscaped network.

D9/a-D9/I Biodiversity Objectives				
Potential For Alternative Approaches	Structure Plan		Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
		Not included.		

Actions Following Preferred Options Con- Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Ob Scoping Report.		developed	to refle	ct the vision, and	d requirements of other plans a	nd programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal
CE19 (1-5) Biodiversity						
		Structure	Draft	Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
There are no reasonable alternatives.				Not included.		
	sultation:					

CE/20 (1, 3-4) Existing Biodiversity F	CE/20 (1, 3-4) Existing Biodiversity Features										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability						
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /						
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation					

There are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG9	P7/2	Not included.	

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: It is not possible or appropriate for the AAP as a high level planning policy document prepared at an early stage in the process of developing the urban quarter to be prescriptive about biodiversity. Structure Plan Policy P7/2 requires the preservation and enhancement of biodiversity. This will be addressed through surveys and management strategies, which will identify features for retention.

CE20 Existing Biodiversity Features - Management Strategy

CE20 Existing biodiversity Features – Management Strategy										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
				CE53						
				Landscape and						
				Biodiversity	Acceptable - again					
				Management	community involvement in					
				Plan –	planning is a plus, and their					
			SS8,	Preferred	involvement in management	Support landscape and biodiversity management, but request the				
There are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG9	P7/2	ENV1	Approach	might be considered.	strategy is drawn up prior to development commencing.				

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is not possible or appropriate for the AAP as a high level planning policy document prepared at an early stage in the process of developing the urban quarter to be prescriptive about how maintenance and management of public open space will be dealt with, including issues of community involvement and funding. What is important is to highlight it as an important issue to be addressed and provide an appropriate policy hook to ensure that this issue must worked up more fully as part of a planning application, on which there will be public consultation. It is also important to make the link between the management of open spaces which have a variety of uses and which need an integrated approach to management, such as landscape, biodiversity, rights of way and drainage. A single ownership of facilities offers significant benefits, and should be required.

CE21 (1-4) New biodiversity Features

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
L		Structure		Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable. We suggest	
					changing the final word in the	
					option text from biodiversity to	
					wildlife.	
					Amend text: "incorporated	
					with the built-up areas in	
				CE51	order to increase the	
				Biodiversity:	biodiversity value of such	Support for the green corridor, urban park, and water features for
				Habitat	areas (where practical), and	increasing biodiversity. Objection on the basis that the density
				Creation –	to increase people's	and type of development will not increase biodiversity. A
				Preferred	opportunities to experience	suggestion that the green corridors should not be bisected by
There are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG9	P7/2	ENV1	Approach		major roads - which should be tunnelled.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P7/2 requires the preservation and enhancement of biodiversity. This will be addressed through the Landscape Strategy, which will also address opportunities landscaping offers to maintain and create new wildlife habitats thus increasing biodiversity.

CE21 (1-4) New biodiversity Features

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability				
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /				
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation			
				CE52					
				Biodiversity:					
				Water Features					
				Preferred		Support for the innovative use of measures to help wildlife gain			
	PPG9	P7/2	ENV1	Approach	Acceptable.	and habitats.			

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P7/2 requires the preservation and enhancement of biodiversity. This will be addressed through the Landscape Strategy, which will also address opportunities landscaping offers to maintain and create new wildlife habitats thus increasing biodiversity.

D10/a-D10/c Archaeology and Heritage Objectives									
				Preferred Options Report	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation			
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide variety of alternative approaches, requirements of the Structure Plan, and implementation of the vision guide the policy, and many of the themes were addressed through other policies.	l l			Not included.					

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE22 Archaeology

OLLE Alonacology			,			·
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable. Development of	
					the site will give	
					archaeologists a chance to	
					examine relics which they are	
					denied at present. We	
					recommend the option might	
					be extended to require	
					developers to provide	
					contingencies in development	
				CE54	plans to allow time to	
				Archaeology -	examine finds so that this	
				Preferred	does not interfere with	General support, but concerns that an archaeological assessment
There are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG16	P7/6	ENV5	Approach	construction.	should be submitted as part of any planning application.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach and revise policy in the AAP to read: "...will be undertaken, and submitted as part of any planning application for development, to ensure the archaeological implications are understood and, as far as possible, any adverse impacts are mitigated. Any important remains will then be protected."

Justification for Policy Approach: There is evidence of continuous development and use from the earliest period, with 37 sites recorded on and around the airport on the Cambridgeshire Sites and Monuments record. There are likely to be further remains on and around the airfield which must be investigated prior to any development on site.

CE23 Built Heritage

OLZO Built Heritage							
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability		
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /		
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation	
					Our assessment suggests		
					this is marginally inferior to		
					the Alternative Option. If the		
					old hangars were retained		
					this would impede		
					development of land that is		
Two options - Option 1 the retention of Listed				CE55 Built	earmarked for housing, and		
Buildings and structures of historic value to				Heritage -	this would appear to affect	A mixed response with some support for a less prescriptive	
Cambridge; Option 2 retention of Listed				Preferred	the design of this part of the	approach, disagreeing with the SA / SEA Report, and some	
Buildings. There are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG15	P7/6	ENV5	Option	settlement.	objection.	

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Option and ensure policy in AAP requires a detailed assessment to be undertaken to identify which significant airport buildings and structures and their settings are representative of a significant chapter in Cambridge's history, eg early hangars and the control tower, and may have potential to be retained and reused as positive features and landmarks in the future development.

Justification for Policy Approach: The policy seeks to retain buildings and structures of heritage interest, and requires a comprehensive site survey to establish the extent and character of their settings, and potential long-term uses.

CE23 Built Heritage

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					We consider this is the	
					preferred option as the listed	
					buildings lie within the zone of	
					mixed land use improving	
					opportunities to preserve and	
					(ideally) re-use them	
					sympathetically. The location	
					of hangars appears to	
					coincide with land allocated	
Two options - Option 1 the retention of Listed				CE56 Built	for housing, suggesting that	
Buildings and structures of historic value to				Heritage -	they could not be retained	
Cambridge; Option 2 retention of Listed				Alternative	without affecting the design of	A mixed response with some support for a less prescriptive
Buildings. There are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG15	P7/6	ENV5	Option	this part of the settlement.	approach and some objection.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Alternative Option CE56.

Justification for Policy Approach: The policy seeks to retain buildings and structures of heritage interest, and requires a comprehensive site survey to establish the extent and character of their settings, and potential long-term uses.

D11/a-D11/d Meeting Recreational Needs Objectives									
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /				
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	1	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation			
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide									
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of	f								
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the									
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes									
were addressed through other policies.				Not included.					

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE24 Public Open Space and Sports Provision - Public Open Space

CL24 Fubile Open Space and Sports	FIUVI	<u> 31011 - 1</u>	ublic	Open opace	<u> </u>	
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					In terms of integrating the	
					design of Cambridge East	
					into the rest of the city it	
Two options - Option 1 the City's Local Plan				CE57 Public	appears more appropriate to	
standards apply; Option 2 each Council's				Open Space -	adopt the City's standards for	General support, but the AAP needs to specify the standards.
standards apply to their respective areas. There				Preferred	space provision since these	One suggestion for the adoption of English Nature's "Accessible
are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG17	P4/1	C5	Option	will reflect standards for	Green Space Standards".

	urban provision and (indirectly) a response to current land pressures within the city.
--	--

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Option and include the City Redeposit Local Plan open space standards in the submission AAP.

Justification for Policy Approach: Public Open Space will be required consistent with its role as an urban quarter of significant size, in accordance with the standards in the City Local Plan.

CE24 Public Open Space and Sports Provision - Public Open Space

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Two options - Option 1 the City's Local Plan				CE58 Public		
standards apply; Option 2 each Council's				Open Space -		
standards apply to their respective areas. There				Alternative	More appropriate to use the	Some support for the Preferred Option and some objection to this
are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG17	P4/1	C5	Option	City's provision standards.	approach.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Alternative Option CE58.

Justification for Policy Approach: Public Open Space will be required consistent with its role as an urban quarter of significant size, in accordance with the standards in the City Local Plan.

CE24 (2-4) Public Open Space and Sports Provision – Formal Sports Provis
--

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE60 Formal		
				Sports		Some support and suggestions for other facilities to be included.
				Provision -		Some objection suggesting the wording needs to make it explicit
				Preferred	Option is procedural and	that the list of facilities is indicative and will be addressed through
There are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG17	P4/1	C5	Approach	cannot be assessed.	a Play Strategy.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach and include a requirement for the preparation of a Play Strategy.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is not possible or appropriate for the AAP as a high level planning policy document prepared at an early stage in the process of developing the urban quarter to be prescriptive about what formal sports provision will be required. A strategy for Formal Sport (to be completed in partnership with Cambridgeshire Horizons and other partners) will enable the comprehensive planning of Cambridge East, and also take into account the needs of the sub-region.

CE24 (5) Public Open Space and Space	<u>orts Pr</u>	<u>ovision</u>	<u> – Loc</u>	cation of Spo	orts Provision	
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable. Some rewording	
				CE61 Dual Use	is necessary because of	
				Sports	possible confusion with option	
An alternative option could be for not locating				Provision –	CE64.	
indoor sports facilities at the secondary school				Preferred		
and for the provision of a different range facilities.	PPG17	P4/1	C5	Approach	Amend text: "artificial turf	

|--|

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Cambridgeshire has a developed network of community colleges and village colleges which provide dual use sports facilities for both schools and community use. This works well where the existing policy base is to base main indoor sports centres and swimming pools at secondary schools managed under a service level agreement with the school. Dual use offers a good value approach and ensures that all people have access to good quality, local sports facilities, and encourages greater after school sport for young people and gives priority to community use in the evenings and weekends.

CE24 (5) Public Open Space and Sports Provision – Location of Sports Provision

CE24 (3) Public Open Space and Spa	UILS FI	04121011	<u> </u>	ation of Spi	<u>UILS FIUVISIUII</u>	
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					The two options are not	
					mutually exclusive and both	
					could be pursued to achieve	
					cost effective provision of	
				CE27	public spaces by including	
				Education:	school playing fields only	
				Playing Fields	where access is not	
				as	constrained, and by making	
Two options - Option 1 for school playing fields to				Contributions	separate provision elsewhere.	
count towards open space standards and Option				to Open Space		A mixed response, but more objections than support for school
2 not counting towards the standards. No				Requirements -	Add text to the end of CE27:	playing fields counting towards public open space.
reasonable alternatives.	PPG17			Option 1	"This would mean less	

	public open space outside educational ownership is required."
--	---

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Option 1 not to be pursued.

Justification for Policy Approach: Grass sports pitches at primary and secondary schools do not count towards public open space standards as inclusion would lead to an over use of school pitches and access cannot always be guaranteed by the schools.

CE24 (5) Public Open Space and Spe	<u>orts Pr</u>	<u>ovision</u>	<u> – Loc</u>	cation of Spe	orts Provision	
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					This option is not mutually	
					exclusive to Option 1. It can	
					be pursued where public	
					access is not available in	
					order that there is adequate	
				CE28	provision to meet open space	
				Education:	standards.	
				Playing Fields		
				as	Add text to the end of CE28:	
Two options - Option 1 for school playing fields to				Contributions	"As such, full open space	
count towards open space standards and Option				to Open Space	provision would be in public	
2 not counting towards the standards. No				Requirements -	ownership, with additional	General support that open spaces are needed but not include
reasonable alternatives.	PPG17			Option 2	space to meet requirements	playing fields from schools.

					of schools."				
Actions Following Preferred Options Consultat	tion: Pur	sue Optior	n CE28						
Justification for Policy Approach: Grass sports pitches at primary and secondary schools do not count towards public open space standards as inclusion would lead to an over use of									
Justification for Policy Approach: Grass sports pitches at primary and secondary schools do not count towards public open space standards as inclusion would lead to an over use of school pitches and access cannot always be guaranteed by the schools.									

CE24 (6) Public Open Space and Sports Provision – Accessibility to Outdoor Sport Pitch Provision										
	DDO /	044	D 64		Initial Sustainability					
		Structure			Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
Alternative options could include a range of				to Formal Sport - Preferred	Acceptable, although given the size of the development is this inevitable for all except					
distances to formal sports provision.	PPG17	P4/1	C5	Approach	the disabled?	General support for this approach.				

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: In accordance with PPG17, paragraph 7, local standards for accessibility are included, equivalent to 10-15 minute walking time.

CE24 (7) Public Open Space and Sports Provision – Location of Children's Play Areas and Youth Facilities									
		Structure		Preferred	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /				
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation			
				CE62					
				Community					
				Involvement -					
An alternative option would be not to include the				Preferred					
community in the development of play areas.				Approach	Acceptable.	General support.			

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: There is considerable benefit in involving children and young people in the design of play areas. This can help develop community spirit and a feeling of ownership by local people. It can also help reduce future vandalism.

CE24 (7) Public Open Space and Sports Provision – Location of Children's Play Areas and Youth Facilities										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
Alternative options could include a range of										
distances to formal sports provision.	PPG17	P4/1	C5	Not included.						

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Distribution of play space is important to ensure provision meets local needs. Standards are based on National Playing Fields Association recommendations.

CE24 (8) Public Open Space and Sports Provision – Urban Park

CZZ ((d) i dibile o poli o paec dila op	0 : (0 : :	0 1 101011	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE63 Urban		
An alternative approach could be not to provide			SS8,	Park –	Acceptable. Sympathetic	General support for this approach. One suggestion for the
an urban park, or to locate it in a different		P4/1,	ENV1,	Preferred	with well-integrated urban	adoption of English Nature's "Accessible Green Space
location.	PPG17	P4/2	C5	Approach	design.	Standards".

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Given that Cambridge East will be a high density development, it will be important to ensure those living in, working in and visiting these areas have easy access to high quality open space. The Green Corridor will serve the southern parts of the urban quarter. However the northern part of the development will be some distance from the corridor and the provision of a formal urban park in the Newmarket Road area will help meet the needs in this area. The existing Park and Ride site has a mature and attractive landscape setting and offers the opportunity to create a high quality park in the heart of the northern part of the urban quarter.

Preferred

Approach

PPG9

Any other options would be a variation on the

theme.

CE24 (9) Public Open Space and Sports Provision – Water Features									
		Structure		Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /				
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation			
					We have concerns about the				
					impact of the large lake on				
					the space available for other				
					planned land uses within the				
					corridor, although the option				
				CE67 Water	contributes to the quality of				
				Features –	urban open space and	General support, although concerns that the water features should			

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Option and clarify that any water features within the Green Corridor and outside the built-up area should include more informal areas such as reed beds. Within the urban area a more formalised approach to water features is proposed, although the benefits of including planting for biodiversity and water quality remain.

supports drainage / flood

relief objectives.

not count towards open space provision and there should be

provision of informal spaces such as reed beds.

Justification for Policy Approach: Water Features are dealt with in the Drainage Chapter - They have the additional benefit of providing the opportunity for water based recreation, and non-motorised sports, where compatible with biodiversity etc.

CE24 (0) Dublic Ones Coase and Co	4 D.:		Dlas	. alia a anal D	alinama af Oman Coasa	
CE24 (9) Public Open Space and Sp	orts Pr	ovision	<u> – Pna</u>	ising and Di	elivery of Open Space	
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

		CE70 Phasing		
		and Delivery of	Option is primarily procedural	A mixed response with objections suggesting phasing should
		Open Space –	although in principle it	include any new / improved access to the countryside, and it
There are no reasonable alternatives - required		Preferred	supports sustainability	should clarify that both sport and recreational facilities should be
by Structure Plan policy P9/2c.	PPG17	Approach	objectives.	delivered.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach and clarify that recreational facilities include sports facilities, and add that any new / improved access to the wider countryside should also be phased through the development.

Justification for Policy Approach: It will be important for the new residents to have access to both recreational facilities and informal open space to meet their needs at a very early stage. Phasing of sports pitches is particularly relevant, as they need to be established for up to 2 years before they can be used therefore the early implementation should be a condition of any planning permission.

CE25 (1) Countryside Recreation

OLLO (1) Oddini yoldo Neoleation	,				_	·
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					We ask the Council to	
					consider whether strategic	
					open space should be	
					provided by a managed	
					approach (ie. by creating a	
					country park which is partly	
					an artificial area), or by	
					providing facilities to	
Three options - Option 1 located north of				CE71	encourage greater use and	
Teversham; Option 2 south of Teversham; Option	n			Countryside	enjoyment of the existing	
3 north of High Ditch Road. An alternative could			SS8,	Recreation -	countryside. If Strategic	
be not to provide a country park, or provide it in a		P4/1,	ENV1,	Preferred	Open Space policy requires	General support, although some objections to the location north of
different location.	PPG17	P4/2	C5	Option	the Council to provide a	Teversham and perceptions of engulfing Teversham.

country park or its equivalent then we concur that this is the preferred approach.
--

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: A Strategic Open Space study has been undertaken and identifies a general deficiency in Cambridgeshire. As a major new community, Cambridge East will itself create a need for a Strategic Open Space facility and it will be important to ensure that its substantial population has good access to the countryside - which has been translated into a need for areas of open access where people can also find facilities which would enable them to experience informal countryside leisure activities - a country park. The site to the north of Teversham will link to the Green Corridor providing a continuous area of greenspace from the countryside to Coldhams Common, which will maximise the recreational benefit.

CE25 (1) Countryside Recreation

obbo (1) obditti yotac i tooloation						
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Three options - Option 1 located north of				CE72		
Teversham; Option 2 south of Teversham; Option	n			Countryside		
3 north of High Ditch Road. An alternative could			SS8,	Recreation -		A mixed response with some support for this option being
be not to provide a country park, or provide it in a		P4/1,	ENV1,	Rejected	We concur with the Councils'	rejected, but others supporting a country park to the south of
different location.	PPG17	P4/2	C5	Option 1	assessment.	Teversham given the development pressure in the area.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Rejected Option 1.

Justification for Policy Approach: A Strategic Open Space study has been undertaken and identifies a general deficiency in Cambridgeshire. As a major new community, Cambridge East will itself create a need for a Strategic Open Space facility and it will be important to ensure that its substantial population has good access to the countryside - which has been translated into a need for areas of open access where people can also find facilities which would enable them to experience informal countryside leisure activities - a country park. The site to the north of Teversham will link to the Green Corridor providing a continuous area of greenspace from the countryside to Coldhams Common, which will maximise the recreational benefit.

CE25 (1) Countryside Recreation

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Three options - Option 1 located north of				CE73		
Teversham; Option 2 south of Teversham; Option				Countryside		
3 north of High Ditch Road. An alternative could			SS8,	Recreation -		
be not to provide a country park, or provide it in a		P4/1,	ENV1,	Rejected	We concur with the Councils'	
different location.	PPG17	P4/2	C5	Option 2	assessment.	Support for the rejection of this option.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Rejected Option 2.

Justification for Policy Approach: A Strategic Open Space study has been undertaken and identifies a general deficiency in Cambridgeshire. As a major new community, Cambridge East will itself create a need for a Strategic Open Space facility and it will be important to ensure that its substantial population has good access to the countryside - which has been translated into a need for areas of open access where people can also find facilities which would enable them to experience informal countryside leisure activities - a country park. The site to the north of Teversham will link to the Green Corridor providing a continuous area of greenspace from the countryside to Coldhams Common, which will maximise the recreational benefit.

CE25 (2) Countryside Recreation

	PPG /	Structure		Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE74 Access	Acceptable. As the	
			SS8,	to the	comments above indicate we	
Guided by Structure Plan policy P4/1, there are		P4/1,	ENV1,	Countryside –	consider it might be	
no reasonable alternatives.	PPG17	P4/2	C5	Preferred	preferable to focus strategic	Support for this approach.

Approach	policy on encouraging more	
	people to use the existing	
	rights of way. This objective	
	would be assisted by	
	enabling access through	
	footpaths and other links	
	starting within Cambridge	
	East.	

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach and include reference in the supporting text to the Landscape East project of the "Bridge of Reeds" in connection with the Wicken Fens vision and highlight the relationship of this evolving proposal with the possible new road access onto the A14.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is not possible or appropriate for the AAP as a high level planning policy document prepared at an early stage in the process of developing the urban quarter to be prescriptive about what improvements to the Rights of Way network will be required. A comprehensive strategy should be devised to improve access from Cambridge East into the wider countryside through footpaths, bridleways, and cycleways connecting wherever possible with areas of Strategic Open Space.

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide						
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of						
ne Structure Plan, and implementation of the						
ision guide the policy, and many of the themes						
vere addressed through other policies.				Not included.		

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE/26 (1	<u>) Land Drainage,</u>	Water Cor	<u>nservatio</u>	n, Foul	<u> Drair</u>	nage and Se	ewage Dis	<u>sposal – S</u>	Surface W	later Drainage

CE/26 (1) Land Drainage, water Con	<u>sci vati</u>	UII, I UU	1		 	de Water Dramage
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable.	
				CE75 Surface	The Council proposes to amend the text: "These could form a variety of design features through the urban	
Two options - Option 1 make use of the water as				Water		General support, although some fears for increased flooding and
features; Option 2 store and remove water. Any				Drainage -	holding features, including a	suggestions for more measures to be included for flood
other options would be a variation on these				Preferred	large, permanent lake in the	attenuation. Some detailed comments suggesting alternative
themes.	PPG25	P6/4	ENV9	Option	green corridor."	wording.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Option, and include this statement in the policy "Whilst the site is not at direct risk of flooding from fluvial sources, a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) will be required to assess the surface water drainage proposals for the site which must be undertaken on a strategic scale for the site as a whole." Also ensure that the reasoned justification to the policy include reference to swales, porous surfaces, green roofs and other measures.

Justification for Policy Approach: Development will require the preparation of a Flood Risk Assessment on a strategic scale for the development as a whole to address any potential flood risk and identify the types of SuDS drainage facilities and maintenance arrangements. SuDS principles not only manage run-off, but also provides features with drainage, recreation, biodiversity and amenity value. These will provide permanent water features for biodiversity - wetland habitats and reed beds, and recreation (see recreation chapter).

Rejected

ENV9 Option

CE/26 (1) Land Drainage, Water Conservation, Foul Drainage and Sewage Disposal– Surface Water Drainage									
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability				
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /				
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation			
					We concur that this option				
					merely manages the runoff				
				CE76 Surface	and does not seek to re-use				
Two options - Option 1 make use of the water as				Water	water appropriately within the				
features; Option 2 store and remove water. Any				Drainage -	settlement, which is a pre-				

requisite for it being

considered sustainable.

Support for the rejection of this option.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Rejected Option.

PPG25 P6/4

other options would be a variation on these

themes.

Justification for Policy Approach: Development will require the preparation of a Flood Risk Assessment on a strategic scale for the development as a whole to address any potential flood risk and identify the types of SuDS drainage facilities and maintenance arrangements. SuDS principles not only manage run-off, but also provides features with drainage, recreation, biodiversity and amenity value. These will provide permanent water features for biodiversity - wetland habitats and reed beds, and recreation (see recreation chapter).

CE/26 (2) Land Drainage, Water Conservation, Foul Drainage and Sewage Disposal – Foul Drainage and Sewage Disposal										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
				CE77 Foul	Acceptable in principle					
Alternative options are a substantial expansion of				Drainage and	provided that the works at					
the Teversham STW or an alternative new STW				Sewage	Milton has the capacity to					
near to the urban extension.	PPG25	P7/12	ENV9	Disposal –	accommodate the extra	Support for this approach.				

Preferred processing, and that this Approach would not add significantly to any of the environmental impacts normally associated with sewage treatment.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Anglian Water are currently undertaking an appraisal of the sewerage provision for the whole of the catchment and the outcome will inform the approach for Cambridge East. It is anticipated that foul water produced will be directed to Cambridge Sewage Treatment Works to take advantage of consolidating existing facilities. However, the approach in CE/26(2) provides flexibility to ensure there will be sufficient capacity in place to accommodate foul water without detrimental impacts, for example from flooding.

CE/26 (3-4) Land Drainage, Water Conservation, Foul Drainage and Sewage Disposal – Management and Maintenance of Watercourses

OLIZO (O +) Lana Braniago, Water Oc	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u> </u>	ים יוטי	umago ama	Octrage Biopodal Inc	inagement and maintenance of watercourses
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE78		
				Management		
				and		
				Maintenance of		
Three options - Option 1 a publicly accountable				Watercourses -	This is a procedural option	
trust; Option 2 by Councils; Option 3 Anglian			SS14,	Preferred	which it is not appropriate to	
Water. There are no reasonable alternatives.			ENV9	Option	assess.	Support for this approach.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Option. Ensure that in the policy derived from CE78 all surface water drainage and SuDS are clearly included as well as water bodies and watercourses.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is vital to ensure that surface water drainage is suitably managed and maintained. Whilst the body responsible has yet to be determined, it is important for the AAP to establish requirements that body must meet.

CE/26 (3-4) Land Drainage, Water Co	nser	vation, Fo	oul Dr	rainage and	Sewage Disposal – Ma	nagement and Maintenance of Watercourses
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE79		
				Management		
				and		
				Maintenance of	i	
Three options - Option 1 a publicly accountable				Watercourses -	This is a procedural option	
trust; Option 2 by Councils; Option 3 Anglian			SS14,	Rejected	which it is not appropriate to	
Water. There are no reasonable alternatives.			ENV9	Option 1	assess.	Support for the rejection of this approach.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Rejected Option 1.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is vital to ensure that surface water drainage is suitably managed and maintained. Whilst the body responsible has yet to be determined, it is important for the AAP to establish requirements that body must meet.

CE/26 (3-4) Land Drainage, Water Co	nser	vation, Fo	oul Dr	ainage and	Sewage Disposal – Ma	nagement and Maintenance of Watercourses
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

		CE80		
		Management		
		and		
		Maintenance of		
Three options - Option 1 a publicly accountable		Watercourses	This is a procedural option	
trust; Option 2 by Councils; Option 3 Anglian	SS14,	Rejected	which it is not appropriate to	
Water. There are no reasonable alternatives.	ENV9	Option 2	assess.	Support for the rejection of this approach.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Rejected Option 2.

Justification for Policy Approach: It is vital to ensure that surface water drainage is suitably managed and maintained. Whilst the body responsible has yet to be determined, it is important for the AAP to establish requirements that body must meet.

CE/26 (5) Land Drainage, Water Conservation, Foul Drainage and Sewage Disposal – Water Conservation

OE/20 (3) Land Drainage, Water Cor	13C1 VC	tion, i ou	<u>ı Diui</u>	nage and ot	Mage Disposar Mate	or our various
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable. Some	
				CE81 Water	subsequent rewording might	
				Conservation -	be considered to make clear	
Alternatives available on the specific water			ENV6,	Preferred	the relationship between this	General support for this approach, although a concern was raised
conservation measures sought.			ENV9	Approach	option and CE75.	about the availability of water to supply the development.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Water consumption of new development was identified as a key issue in the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report. The scale of development require action to be taken to conserve water. The 25% target offer a realistic and achievable goal.

D13/a-D13/b Telecommunications Objectives											
		Structure	Draft	Preferred Options	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /						
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation					
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide											
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of	f										
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the											
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes											
were addressed through other policies.				Not included.							

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE27 Telecommunications

GLZI TEIECOIIIIIuIIICations			_			
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable. Sustainability	
					benefits depend on how	
					affordable the facilities /	
				CE82	services are, although pre-	
				Telecommunic	providing a common	
There are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG8	P6/5	E6	ations	infrastructure is helpful. The	Support for this approach.

option might be more
specifically worded to identify
obvious synergies with
attracting an appropriate
business mix to the
settlement, and support for
library services and other
community facilities. Also an
infrastructure component
offering potential benefits to
the disabled or house-bound.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Effective telecommunications can offer sustainability benefits in terms of opportunities for home working etc.

D44/a D44/la Nataural Francisca anno ant Ob	. ! 4!				
D14/a-D14/h Natural Environment Observation Potential For Alternative Approaches	-	Draft RSS	Preferred Options Report	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide			•		
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of					
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the					
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes					
were addressed through other policies.			Not included.		

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE28 (1-2) Energy – Energy Efficiency

OLZO (1 Z) Ellergy Ellergy Elliolelle	<u> </u>					
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Alternative approaches would be to operate a						
different standard for Cambridge East, but				CE84 Energy		
standards in the Cambridge City Local Plan and				Conservation -		A mixed response with some general support and some seeing
South Cambridgeshire Core Strategy guide	PPS1,			Preferred		this as an issue which should be dealt with by Building
standards.	PPS22	P1/3	ENV8	Approach	Acceptable.	Regulations.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach and include specific policy in AAP relating to energy conservation, consistent with response in GO-East representations to South Cambs Core Strategy.

Justification for Policy Approach: Encouraging energy efficiency required by draft RSS and the Structure Plan. Building regulations are due to become more stringent on energy conservation, so encouragement for achieving standards above the minimum is appropriate.

CE28 (3) Energy – Renewable Energ	<u>y Tech</u>	<u>nologie:</u>	<u>s in New Develo</u>	<u>oment</u>
			Droforrod	Initial Custoinshility

				Preferred	initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

					Acceptable however some changes to the priorities for	
					different types of renewable	
Alternative approaches would be to operate a					energy are recommended in	
different standard for Cambridge East, but				CE83 Energy	the assessment of the South	
standards in the Cambridge City Local Plan and				Provision –	Cambridgeshire Core	
South Cambridgeshire Core Strategy guide		P1/3,		Preferred	Strategy DPD (options CS59	A mixed response with general support, although some support for
standards.	PPS22	P7/7	ENV8	Approach	and CS60).	a higher target and some objection to imposition of rigid targets.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach and include specific energy provision policy in the AAP. Ensure that the policy derived from CE83 will require developers to maximise energy efficiency through sustainable design and construction but also encourage developers to achieve energy efficiency standards above the minimum standards.

Justification for Policy Approach: The Government has set a clear target for the generation of 10% of UK electricity from renewable energy sources by 2010. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect larger developments to contribute towards this target. The emerging RSS14 includes a policy (ENV8) which would require all developments above a certain threshold to demonstrate that 10% of energy requirements can be met by Renewables. The approach in this policy would therefore be consistent.

CE29 Sustainable Building Methods and Materials											
<u> </u>				Preferred	Initial Sustainability						
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary						
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation					
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is											
clearly inferior.	PPS1	P1/3		Not included.							

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: A sustainable approach.

CE/30 (1-2) Noise

<u> </u>						
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable, although we	
					would assume adequate	
					noise abatement measures	
				CE86 Noise –	would be a pre-requisite for	
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is				Preferred	any individual planning	
clearly inferior.	PPG24	P7/8		Approach	application to be approved.	General support for this approach.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: In accordance with the Structure Plan, an assessment of potential noise impact will be required and appropriate mitigation to minimise the noise impact on new and existing noise sensitive land uses.

CE/31 (1-2) Air Quality

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation

The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is clearly inferior.	PPS23	P7/8		Not included.		
Actions Following Preferred Options Con	sultation:					
Justification for Policy Approach: In acco		ne Structure	e Plan,	an assessment	t of potential impact on air qualit	y will be required and appropriate mitigation to minimise the impa
	oordontidi.					
CE/32 Land Contamination						
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPG / PPS	Structure Plan	Draft RSS	Preferred Options Report	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	
Potential For Alternative Approaches				Options	Appraisal Result Summary	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Potential For Alternative Approaches There are no reasonable alternatives.		Plan		Options	Appraisal Result Summary	
	PPS23	Plan		Options Report	Appraisal Result Summary	

D15a An Exemplar in Sustainability Objectives										
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability					
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /					
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide										
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of	f									
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the										
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes										
were addressed through other policies.				Not included.						

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE33 An Exemplar in Sustainability

-				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Statement could be regarded	
				CE85 Energy	as procedural though its	
				Conservation:	overall objective clearly	
				Exemplar	supports sustainable policy	
				Projects –	on scarce resources. The	A mixed response with general support, although some support for
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is	PPS1,	P1/3,		Preferred	Council might consider how	a higher target and some concern about the cost of
clearly inferior.	PPS22	P7/7	ENV8	Approach	the eventual policy would	implementation.

	address translating successful demonstrations into more widespread adoption.
--	--

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Structure Plan Policy P1/3 requires sustainable design for all new developments and Cambridge East provides the opportunity to develop as an example of excellence in the creation of a sustainable urban quarter. This could be achieved through particular projects, or an increased level of sustainability above existing requirements across the whole development.

E1/a-E1/d Delivering Cambridge East Objectives										
Potential For Alternative Approaches		Structure Plan		Preferred Options Report	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation				
Although theoretically there is potential for a wide variety of alternative approaches, requirements of the Structure Plan, and implementation of the vision guide the policy, and many of the themes were addressed through other policies.				Not included.						

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.

CE34 (1-2) Construction Strategy - Potential For Alternative Approaches	Structure	laul Roads Preferred Options Report	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
			Acceptable - the Council might consider extra wording to emphasise the need to protect the natural environment.	
There are no reasonable alternatives.		CE87 Site Accesses - Preferred Approach	<u> </u>	A mixed response with general support, and concern about the impact on local residents.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach, amended to make clear that where impacts cannot be avoided they should be minimised in effect and duration.

Justification for Policy Approach: Cambridge East will be under construction for a long-time, and it is important to minimise the impact both on existing communities, and the early phases of Cambridge East.

CE34 (3-4) Construction Strategy – Construction Methods									
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability				
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary	/			
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation			

		CE94		
		Recycling of		
		Building		
		Materials –		
Structure Plan requirements for sustainable		Preferred		General support but comment that it is more efficient to continue
construction minimise potential for alternatives.	P7/11	Approach	Acceptable.	to use than recycle.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Recycling will reduce the waste generated by the new development.

CE34 (5) Construction Strategy – Construction Spoil

CE34 (5) Construction Strategy – Co	<u> Instru</u>	<u>iction Sp</u>	<u> </u>			
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					Acceptable. Further	
					consideration needs to be	
					given to the impact of re-	
					laying spoil locally but this	
					option is clearly preferable to	
					disposal off-site which will	
					generate haulage traffic and	
				CE92	may create environmental	
Two options - Option 1 accommodate within the				Construction	problems elsewhere.	
development; Option 2 transport away from site.				Spoil -		
Any other options would be a variation on these				Preferred	The Council proposes to	
themes.	PPS1	P7/11		Option	amend the text: "Construction	General support but suggest alternative wording.

		spoil should be stored and accommodated within"	

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Option, amended to: - include reference in the supporting text to CE91 that spoil can be used to help construct sport and recreation facilities, eg earth mounds can be used for creating athletics training areas and BMX cycling tracks - replace reference to hazardous waste with "waste having potentially hazardous properties - move consideration of reprocessing steel to a revised CE94 which deals with recycling of building materials.

Justification for Policy Approach: Managing spoil requires a careful strategy. Transporting large amounts of spoil is unsustainable, but it must be carefully sited if retained on site to avoid creation of alien features in the landscape. Some spoil may assist in the creation of sport and recreation facilities. While as much spoil as possible should remain on the site, the policy should acknowledge that this is not appropriate for every type of spoil.

CE34 (5) Construction Strategy - Construction Spoil

				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
					We concur that disposal of	
				CE93	spoil off-site is unsustainable	
Two options - Option 1 accommodate within the				Construction	and should only be	
development; Option 2 transport away from site.				Spoil -	considered if more detailed	
Any other options would be a variation on these				Rejected	planning shows that on-site	
themes.	PPS1	P7/11		Option	disposal is impractical.	Support for the Preferred Option.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Do not pursue Rejected Option.

Justification for Policy Approach: Managing spoil requires a careful strategy. Transporting large amounts of spoil is unsustainable, but it must be carefully sited if retained on site to avoid creation of alien features in the landscape. Some spoil may assist in the creation of sport and recreation facilities. While as much spoil as possible should remain on the site, the policy should acknowledge that this is not appropriate for every type of spoil.

CE34 (6	<u>) Construction</u>	Strategy – Ea	<u>rth m</u>	<u>oving: N</u>	<u>orth o</u>	<u>f Newmarke</u>	t Road

	PPG /	Structure	Draft		Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary <i>i</i>	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE91 Earth		
				Moving: North		
				of Newmarket		Concern that demolition waste should not be included, and only
				Road –		clean soil should be used. Objection to the lack of reference to
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is				Preferred		the opportunity to use spoil for recreation activities. General
clearly inferior.	PPS1			Approach	Acceptable.	support for the preferred approach.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach but make it clear that reuse of soils will be limited to clean soil resources, in particular to ensure no adverse impact on the successful establishment of landscaping, and that use of spoil within Phase 1 North of Newmarket Road will also need to ensure that it is appropriate for landscape character.

Justification for Policy Approach: An important part of the strategy to minimise the impact of construction on amenity and the landscape.

CE34 (7) Construction Strategy – St	<u>orage</u>	Compou	<u>ınds,</u>	Plant and M	<u>achinery</u>	
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE88 Storage		
				Compounds,		
				Plant and		
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is				Machinery –		
clearly inferior.				Preferred	Acceptable.	General support for this approach.

				Approach		
				Approach		
Actions Following Preferred Options Consu	Itation: F	Pursue the P	referre	d Approach.		
		• • • •				
Justification for Policy Approach: An importa	ant part c	of the strateg	y to mir	nimise the impa	ct of construction on existing an	d new businesses and residents.
CE34 (8) Construction Strategy – C	onstru	uction Ac	tivitie	es .		
77				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	_	
otential For Alternative Approaches	PPG / PPS	Structure Plan	Draft RSS	Options Report	Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Potential For Alternative Approaches					Appraisal Result Summary	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Potential For Alternative Approaches				Report	Appraisal Result Summary	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Potential For Alternative Approaches				Report CE89	Appraisal Result Summary	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participatio
				Report CE89 Construction	Appraisal Result Summary	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participatio
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is				Report CE89 Construction Activities –	Appraisal Result Summary	
Potential For Alternative Approaches The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is clearly inferior.				Report CE89 Construction Activities – Preferred	Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation General support for this approach.
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is				Report CE89 Construction Activities – Preferred	Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is clearly inferior.	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report CE89 Construction Activities – Preferred Approach	Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report CE89 Construction Activities – Preferred Approach	Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation General support for this approach.
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is clearly inferior.	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report CE89 Construction Activities – Preferred Approach	Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	

CE34 (9) Construction Strategy – Development Starting on Site Preferred Initial Sustainability PPG / Structure Draft Options Appraisal Result Summary /									
					Appraisal Result Summary /				
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation			
The only alternative, a "do nothing" option, is									
clearly inferior.				Not included.					

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Ensuring all phases of development are connected to each other and / or adjoining parts of the City by public transport, cycle and pedestrian routes will ensure access by all modes. It is important to provide modal choice from the first occupation, as it is very difficult to change people's habits away from use of the private car at a later date.

CESE Ctuatania Landacanian

CE35 Strategic Landscaping						
	PPG /	Structure	Draft		Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE90 Strategic		
				Landscaping –		
Guided by Structure Plan policy P9/2c. There				Preferred		
are no reasonable alternatives		P7/4, P9/2d		Approach	Acceptable.	General support for this approach.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Pursue the Preferred Approach.

Justification for Policy Approach: Landscaping is a vital part of minimising the impact of development, and due to the time it takes to establish effective landscaping it is vital that implementation of a landscape strategy begins early in the development.

CE36 Management of Services, Facilities, Landscape and Infrastructure

or or management of oct vices, i do	111100, 1		po an	a mmastras	10.10	
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
				CE69		
				Management of		
				Open Space –	Option is primarily procedural	
				Preferred	and not suitable for this	
There are no reasonable alternatives.	PPG17			Approach	assessment.	General support for this approach.

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation: Develop a criteria based policy in the AAP requiring a management plan to be approved prior to the S46 agreement, and single ownership of facilitates, but allowing greater flexibility on the exact method of management.

Justification for Policy Approach: The exact model of management most suitable has yet to be determined, therefore a criteria based policy is an appropriate response. The model detailed in the preferred approach has proved successful in other areas. As detailed in the Preferred Approach, a single ownership of facilities offers significant benefits, and should be required.

AEA	-	/ 🔷		D
('F'X /	Limina	/ ()rdar	of Service	Drawielan
OLJI	I IIIIIIII M	Oluci	OI OCIVICE	1 104131011

GEST TIMING / Order of Service Provision											
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability						
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /						
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation					

				This option is concerned with	
				project planning for the	
			CE95 Timing /	development and is not suited	
			Order of	to assessment. We assume	
			Service	that the need to match	
			Provision –	construction and service	
			Preferred	provision rates with expected	General support but concerns about delivery - requires meticulous
There are no reasonable alternatives.	P9/8	CSR5	Approach	occupancy is a pre-requisite.	planning to avoid upheaval on existing residents during the build.
Actions Following Preferred Options Consu	Itation: Pursue the	Preferred	Approach.		
Justification for Policy Approach: A policy is	necessary to ensu	re provisio	n ot services, fa	acilities and intrastructure wher	they are needed at each stage of development.

Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPG / PPS	Structure Plan	Draft RSS	Preferred Options Report	Initial Sustainability Appraisal Result Summary / Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
The second secon					J. J	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
There are no alternatives.				Not included		

Justification for Policy Approach: A policy is necessary to prevent inappropriate development in the Cambridge Airport Safety Zones.

CE39 Phasing North of Cherry Hinton

OLOU I Hading Horai of Officity Hinto	<u></u>					
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability	
	PPG /	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /	
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation
Development will be limited whilst the airport is						
operational to that which can be accommodated						
safely within the available land. The only						
alternative is for no development to take place						
while the airport is still operating.				Not included.		

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: Cambridge East will be phased over a long period, with some development being dependent upon the relocation of the Airport. Phase 1 north of Newmarket Road is addressed in this AAP. In addition, there is scope for some land north of Cherry Hinton to come forward and a policy is needed to bring it forward.

E2/a Planning Obligations & Conditions Objectives									
					Preferred	Initial Sustainability			
		PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /			
	Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation		

Although theoretically there is potential for a wide							
variety of alternative approaches, requirements of							
the Structure Plan, and implementation of the							
vision guide the policy, and many of the themes							
were addressed through other policies.	Not included.						
Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:							
Justification for Policy Approach: Plan Objectives are developed to reflect the vision, and requirements of other plans and programmes, and outcomes of the Sustainability Appraisal							
Baseline Report.							

CE40 Infrastructure Provision

OE 10 IIII doll dollar 1 1 Totalon							
				Preferred	Initial Sustainability		
	PPG/	Structure	Draft	Options	Appraisal Result Summary /		
Potential For Alternative Approaches	PPS	Plan	RSS	Report	Changes	Summary of Result of Preferred Options Public Participation	
There are no reasonable alternatives.				Not included.			

Actions Following Preferred Options Consultation:

Justification for Policy Approach: A policy is necessary to ensure suitable arrangements are in place for the provision of the necessary infrastructure to make the scheme acceptable in planning terms.